From:	Pamela Williams
То:	Species Conservation
Subject:	Public Comments to Salmon Workgroup
Date:	Monday, November 11, 2019 5:32:29 PM

Governor's Salmon Workgroup Public Comment Form

Comments to the Governor's Salmon Workgroup will be accepted in-person or by email submitted to species@osc.idaho.gov

November 11, 2019

Pamela Williams

208 342-2423

3blackdog9@gmail.com

Measures so far have been wholly inadequate. I want the needs of grizzlies and other wildlife species to be considered AND ACCOMMODATED. I also want to see recognition that there are cascading benefits from healthy salmon populations; that they are critical to environmental vibrancy and wholeness; and that ultimately the salmon life cycle enriches our ecosystem.

I don't care if I have to pay more for power because the obstructive dams must go. Perhaps that will be the catalyst to make me get serious about residential renewable energy sources. Please do everything necessary to ensure that salmon species not only survive, but that they thrive and continue to do so indefinitely. Let's restore them to their glory.

From:	Jim Byrne
To:	Species Conservation
Cc:	Brian Davern
Subject:	Remove the dams
Date:	Monday, November 11, 2019 6:09:04 PM

As a WDFW fish biologist for 27 years it is apparent that the four Snake River dams cannot coexist. If we keep the dams intact Sake River salmon and steelhead will go extinct. We can replace the power from other sources. We cannot replaces these fish.

The decision is yours. I'd hate to be responsible for multiple species going extinct. Do the right thing. Reevaluate the dams.

Jim Byrne

Governor's Salmon Workgroup Public Comment Form

Comments to the Governor's Salmon Workgroup will be accepted in-person or by email submitted to species@osc.idaho.gov

Date:	
Name:	
Phone:	
Email:	

Comments:

From:	Gene Spangrude
То:	Species Conservation
Subject:	Lower Snake River Projects
Date:	Wednesday, November 13, 2019 1:22:11 PM
Attachments:	SalmonHistoricalReports.pdf SnakeWaterTemps1950s.pdf

Attached are two PDFs, one containing excerpts from some Federal Reports dating from the late 1800's; which addresses 'declining Salmon numbers' noted approximately 140 years ago; and the other containing daily Water Temperature Data reports which were collected for several years in the 1950's; prior to the construction of any of the four Lower Snake River Dams.

A recent letter from approximately 55 Scientists regarding the Lower Snake River discusses "excessively high Water Temperatures' and also suggests that a Water Temperature of 68 Deg F / 20 Deg C can be considered as a lower limit of this classification. However, as can be seen from the attached data which was published by the United States Geological Survey and was collected under 'pre Lower Snake River Dam conditions,' temperatures exceeding 68 Degrees F were noted annually during the 1950's and occasionally approached the high 70's F in the River's Natural State.

As can be noted from the Salmon Reports dating from the late 1800's, even at that time there was obvious concern about the diminishing Salmon Numbers within the Columbia River Basin.

I request that this readily available historical information be addressed and also listed in 'Lists of References' for future reports which may be released about Water Temperatures on the Lower Snake River.

I also request that this question be addressed: How will the removal of the four Lower Snake River Dams correct issues which were noted long before their construction?

Gene Spangrude

UNITED STATES COMMISSION OF FISH AND FISH B.	REPORT	THE COMMISSIONER	^{ron} 1875–1876.	A-INQUIRY INTO THE DECREASE OF THE FOOD FISHES. D-THE PROPAGATION OF FOOD FISHES IN THE WATERS	SUIDI ULI IL	UNMERSITY OF WASBINGION, UNMERSITY OF WASBINGION, STATLE WASHINGTON: GOVERNMENT FRINTING OFFICE. 1878.
					Encl	1 - 1

....



NOTICE. This material may be protected by copyright law (Title 17 U.S. Cou- Provided by the University of Washington Libraries III.—THE SALMON FISHERIES OF THE COLUMBIA RIVER.	By LIVESETON STONE. BAN FILANCISCO, OLL, December 31, 1876. SIR: I beg leave to report as follows: The pursuance of instructions receired from you from Washington, I In pursuance of instructions receired from you from Washington, I fully late Some the Columbia River on the 1st day of May, 1876, and arrived at Porthand, Oreg., on the 6th day of the same month. From this point I made various accurations up the Willamette and up and down the Columbia from the ocean to Cillo, 210 miles front the mouth of the river, giving special alteration screeners, besides looking up a from this point. Tande variation to the natural history of the salmon and the business of the river anneries, besides looking up a from the from the from the that I had to spend on the Columbia, to- ward determining the number and dumateeristics of the many variaties of almon which frequent to first. Integration of the Columbia, to- ward determining the number and dumateeristics of the many variaties of almon which frequent to first. The for the numary listory of the solution which frequent to first. The free and the control in the Columbia, to- ward determining the number of Chine to the Columbia, to- ward determining the number of the transition of the Columbia, to- ward determining the number of the transition of the Columbia, to- the free with the other results of up intrestigations, will be found in the course of the following report. The free with the other results of the many variation of almon, determining the number of the inter. The Columbia, as generally known, is the most productive salmon three of the would. Its was fributaries, standing for the accommodation of the parent fish three of the would. Its was from the fish way, free of the would. Its was fributaries, standing for the fish way, free of the would. Its was fributaries, standing or many degree of faitude for the would. Its was associed for the would would be return to the fister the and modulation of the parent has anguited particles of the mouth of the forthere and reture t	
260 - nrr OF COMMISSIONER OF FISH AND FISHERIES. umet, will probably be useless to introduce new food-fishes. But other streams, and the numerous lakes in this part of the State can be successfully restocked. Bels would without doubt succeed, and the finding of the small shad at Riverdale proves that they have lived for a few years-in that stream.	Defra upped to the the transformed of the transform	

FISHERIES OF SACRAMENTO AND COLUMB TYERS. 803	Question. Has the abundance of the fish diminished or increased within the last ten years, or is it about the same f Answer. The salmon have not increased in the Columbia River during the last ten years, and it is not known that they have diminished any. Fewer Ohinook salmon now make their appearance in the upper riv- ers, but this is sufficiently accounted for by the fact that such a vast quantity are now netted in the main river on their way up. On the Yillanette River the fishermen claim that the salmon have very much diminished, and that they caught only twenty or thirty now where they used to catele a hundred. This is undoubtedly true, but it does not prove that the salmon of the Oolumbia are diminishing, for it may be, and probably is, only the matural result of so many thousand more being stopped and caught in the main river below than there used to be. This must, of course, lessen the number that enter the Willa- nette. Question. If diminished or increased, what is the supposed cause i Answer.	Auswer. 4. – Sizb.	Question. What is the greatest size to which it attains (both length and weight), and what the average?	of 35 inches, a girth of 31 inches, and a weight of 654 pounds. One of the fisherment told up that he saw one caught in May, 1843, which which as nonvelsed with the saw one caught in May, 1843, which	have heard of. The average weight is 22 or 23 pounds whole, and 16 ⁴ or 17 pounds dressed. Uut of 98,000 salmon taken at Olifton, Oreg., in 1874, only one weighed as much as 65 nounds.	Questiou. State the rate of growth per annum, if known, and the size at oue, two, three, or more years.	Auswer. The rule of growth is not known. There is every reason to believe, however, that it is similar to that of the Sacramento salmon. (See Report of United States Commissioner of Fish and Fisheries, 1872- 773, pp. 185.)	Question. Do the sexes differ in respect to shape, size, rate of growth, $\& 0.1$ $\& 0.1$ $\Delta nswor$. In the spring the sexes are exactly alike in appearance. At and near the spawning season they differ very nuch. Their rate of	growth appears to be nearly the same.	5MIGRATIONS AND MOVEMENTS. Question. By what route do these fish come in to the shore, and what the subsequent movements ?
() ISH AND FISHERIES.	is not their only peculiarity ater variety also than in any on in the Sacramento, one in he Rhine, and one in the Brit- welve distinct varieties in the any, occasioned by differences labyrinth which has always he Columbia it was quite im- tive knowledge of the differ- confined wyself chieffy to in- ook salmou (Salmo quinnat), by, and to gathering such iu- uds, from the fishermen and add, to the Salmo quinnat will r Baird's very valuable series	FOOD-FISEES-SALMO		this fish is known in your as sketch for better identifi-	bia River as the "Chinook wou salwou of the Coluu-	4. ar, or ouly during a certain	und in the main Columbia river in February and con-	at certain lines of the year, vil to August, the greatest th of July.		vith other fish ? auy other fish of the river.
802 COMMISSIONER OF FI	The abundance of the salmon, however, i in this wonderful river. They occur in gree other known river of the world. While there is only one auadromous salm the Penobscot, one in the Miranichi, one in the fish rivers, there are said to be no less than tw of age, seasou, and sex, have constituted.a been an inyfucible puzzle to naturalists. In the very brief time that I spent on the possible to acquire anything like an exhaus tent varieties in the river. I consequently c quiries into the characteristics of the Ohiu which I had an opportunity to see and stud formation as I could regarding the other kin other salmon-experts of the river. The results of my investigation in regar- be found in the form of answers to Professor of questions relating to fishes.	B-QUESTIONS RELATIVE TO THE	QUINNAT. INAME.	Question. What is the name by which t neighborhood? If possible make an outliv cation.	Answer. This fish is known in the Columl salmon," the "Tyee salmon," and the " com bia."	2Distribution Is it found throughout the year	 time; and for what time? Auswer. The Ohinook salmou are not for throughout the year, but begin to enter the final to in the second time in while some time in Sector. 	 A duction. If resident, is it more abundant. A and at what times ? A answor. They are most abundant from Ap number making their appearance in the mon. 	3	Question. How abundant is it, compared w Ans They vastly exceed in abundance

806 JUT OF COMMISSIONER OF FISH AND FISHERIES.

gressiou to that point is about 100 miles a month. Dr. Suckley, in the Pacific Railroad Reports, estimates that the *Salmo scouleri* ascends the river at the rate of 100 miles a week. This variety, however, is a fall salmon and iu great has to deposit its spawn, which undoubtedly accounts for the difference of speed in the two instances. The spring (or summer) salmon are a week going from the Oascades to the Dalles. They are only a day or two getting through the Dalles, for they are seen of the Dalles a day or two after their first appearance at the mouth of the Dalles.

Question. If anadromous, what is the length of their stay in fresh water, and when do they return to the sea ?

Answer. This question cannot be determined until it is known whether the fall runs of salmon are distinct from the *Salmo quinnat*. All of this latter variety return to the sea (or die) in August and September, as none are found in the river after that time having the characteristics of the spring run of the *Salmo quinnat*. It may be added here that vast shoals of the young of some salmon descend the Columbia in summer, passing the lower fisheries in June and July, and also that full-grown salmon of some variety are conglet in considerable quantities, neurly extended, on the buck of the drift-nets of the Lower Columbia in July and August.

Question. Do the different sexes or ages vary in this respect? Auswer. Tliey do not.

A ... Questiou. Do these fish come on to the breeding grounds before they are mature; or do you find theone or two year old lish with the oldest A. Answer. Fish of all sizes and ages above a year old are found together, ou the breeding-grounds, except the salmou parts recently hatched. Question. What are the favorite localities of these fish ? Say whether in still water or currents; shallow or deep water; on the sund; in grass;

about rocks, &c. A about rocks, &c. A arswer. These salmon are found anywhere in the river in deep water, in shullow water, over sand, gravel, and rocks; everywhere except in

in shallow water, over sand, gravel, and rocks; everywhere except lagoons or sloughs, aside from the river, where the water stagnates. A Question. What depth of water is preferred by these fish f Answer. No depth in particular.

Question. What the favorite temperature and general character of water?

Answer. The temperatures of the Lower Columbia are given below.

Encl

FISHERIES OF SACRAMENTO AND COLUMBIA TRS. 807

Table of daily temperatures of the water of the Columbia River at Cifton, Oreg., Sundays excepted.

d	1			F		1	5	6	ŝ	a.	6	-	,	0	n	70	11	1	8	~	0	~	8	•	-		0	69	4
19 0	°	č	. e			- 3	e	0	6	9	e	PC -	-	8	ć	0	6	ى	9	0	9	6	9	6	0	0	G	C	*
7 a. to.	•	3	12	8	3	5	671	5	3	3	3	8	3	3	G	5	9	, 59	651	3	8	5	5	3	3	8	5	G	2
Date.	1875.	nlv 14.	11.	16.	17	19	20	21	22	·	21	26	27	53E	39		31	Aug. 9	3	4	5	6	7	9	10	1 1		13	1.1
<u></u>	<u> </u>	-		-	-		-		-	_	-		_	-	_		-	-4	-	-	-	-	-				-		-
13 10	•	99	0:1	3	09	8	Ξ	8	3	š	8	3	SH,	3	ð	8	8	8	5	5	B	6	5	3	3	8	63	5	5
7 a. m	•	fe's	Er.P.	9	SpJ	201	115	2	5	52	3	Æ	3	Z	H	3	8	3	8	3	103	65	3	z	3	5	6.1	5	-
Dalo.	1573.	Jupo 11	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	21			21	125		58	5		July 1		3	5	6	7	8	9	30	12	
12 m.	.•	15	3	501	32	22	22	3	3	5	3	Ā	3	1	3	-	20	5	5	51	3	2	8	26	33	12	125	E	2
7 a. m.	0	5	203	20	5	3	3	5	2	3	7	2	3	3	3	51	7	3	3	ន	3	2	8	199	3	8	56	5	2
Date.	1875.	10 yeld	11	12	13	14	15	17	19											·····	J ound				9	T			10

The headwaters are, of course, much colder in the summer rouths. All parts of the river seem to suit the salmon, from which it may be inferred that all the temperatures of the tuble, together with the colder ones of the tributaries, are satisfactory to the Salmo quinnat

6.--RELATIONSEIPS.

Question. Do these fish go in schools after they have done spawning, or throughout the year, or are they scattered and solitary ?

Answer. They do not go in proper schools as mackerel and other sen fish do. I think each salmou makes its progress on its own individua account; but such vast numbers ascend the river at a time that they appear to move in schools.

Question. Have they any special friends or enemies?

Auswer. Seals, sea-lious, otters, eagles, and ospreys are their special euemics. They have no frieuds that are of any good to them, that I am aware of. I should, however, except the Oregon legislature, which has at last provided a close-time for salmon, which example the Washington Territory assembly ought to follow as soon as possible.

Question. To what extent do they prey on other fish; and on what species \pmb{i}

Answer. The salmon derour great quantities of smelts and other smaller fish, when it salt water; but it fresh water they do not eat anything. Out of 98,000 salmon examined at the cannery of J. W. Oook & Co., at Olifton, Oreg., in 1876, only three had food in their stomachs,

FISHERIES.
AND
FISH
0F
COMMISSIONER
OF
820

the Silversido Salmon, the Hard heads, the Humpback Salmon, the Hookuosed Salmon, the Brook Trout, the larger Brook Trout, the Salmou Trout, the Lake Trout.

as I had just arrived on the river and had not identified any of the . I discovered afterward that Mr. Cook was right as far as he went; but fishes at that time except the Salmo quinnat, the contradictory character of my information scemed very discouraging.

. The varieties mentioned by Mr. Cook I afterward found to be as fol-···· lows:

.

⁽¹ The Ohinook Salmou is the Salmo quinnat. The Blueback is the Salmo gairdneri.

The Silverside Salmon is the Salmo sp. ?

The Hurd-head is the Salmo truncatus.

The Humpbacked Sulmon is the Salmo protens.

The Hooknosed Salmon is the Salmo scouleri. The Brook Trout is the Pario stellatus.

The large Brook Trout is the Salmo masoni. The Salmon Trout is the Salmo gibbsii.

The Lake Trout is the Salmo sp. ?

• ;7

every different river. I could not identify any of these except the first, which is certainly the Salmo canis of Suckley, but it is very doubtful whether the Salmo canis and ulso the Salmo Scouleri are not merely the sides the varieties just mentioned, the Dog Salmon, the Klackamas Chinook Salmon, the Klackamas Trout, the Fall Chinook Salmon, the Pull Silver Salmon, and, in fact, a different salmon or trout in almost altered forms of some of the varieties of fish already mentioned after undergoing the very great changes which come on us the eggs and milt become ripe for the spawning season. Indeed I feel very sure that the On the Willamette I was told by the fishermen that there were, be-Sulmo canis is a form of one of the othor variatics which it takes at the approach of the spawning-period.

D-METEODS OF FISHING.

The various methods of fishing for the Salmonida on the Columbia inay be found mentioned in the answers given above to Professor Baird's questions on the Salmo quinnat, but I will also offer here a recapitula. tion of the different methods of capturing the fish. They are-Encl

2. By hauling a seine, as at Chinook and various points on the 1. By drifting with drift-nets, as at all the canneries of the Columbia. Columbia.

3. By set (gill) nets, as at Oregon Oity, on the Willamette.

4. By scoop-nots, as at the Dalles and the Falls of the Willamette. 6. By dip-pets, as at the Dalles.

. G. By hook and line, as at the month and also at the headwaters of · the Columbia, for salmon, and in all the smaller streams for trout.

821 o FISHERIES OF SACRAMENTO AND COLUMBIA 1.

7. By traps and weirs, as at Oak Point and rarious places on the Columbia. 3. By fishing-rakes, as at the Lower Columbia, and the Cowlitz for smelts.

10. By spearing, as everywhere, among the Indiaus, where the water 9. By "twitching-hooks," as at the Falls of the Willamette for salmou. is shallow cuough.

E-THE CANNERIES OF THE COLUMBIA.

such large profits to those engaged in it. It is only a very few years Every oue has leard of the cunneries of the Columbia. They have well deserved the reputation they have acquired, for seldom has a since the first salmon-caunery on the Columbia, commenced operations, and last year (1874) there were fourteen large establishments, employing in the aggregate nearly two thousand men and turning out nearly oranch of industry assumed so quickly such large proportions or yickled twenty million pounds of salmon in caps.

pack them into cams. The filled cans are then pushed on to the next placed on the wharf ready for shipment. In the course of the entire to the waters edge or rather they are built out over the water so that smull boats can go under them. In front of the cannery is a platform the rear of the wharf is a large rack opening on the river which receives the sulmon fresh from the water just as the boats bring them in from From the rack the sulmon are passed to the cleaning bench, where the thoroughly washed in three different waters and with a hose. Prom of revolving knives cuts the fish transversely into pieces about 4 inches long. These pieces are then passed on to the canning bench, where bench where the covers are fitted on. The next set of Chinamen solder on the covers and pass them on to another set, who place them ou iron racks and lower them into the boilers. After being sufficiently boiled the cans are taken out, washed, cooled, tested, labelled, cased, and steamers can run up. At one corner of the establishment, and just in beads, tails, fius, and cutrails are removed, and the body of the fish the cleaning bench the salmon is passed on to the cutter where a system chinamen who are required to wash their hands every half hour, cut up the fish with ment knives into pieces of a suitable size for canning, and well as about the fisheries and untural history of the salmon of the mostly Chinamen. They run an average of twenty boats through the their buildings which are conveniently located and very nectbodically constructed cover nearly half an acre of ground. The buildings extend very firmly built on piles which forms a wharf to which the ocean the seines. This rack is capable of holding one or two thousand sulmon. co obtain much information about the process of canning salmon, as Columbia. The Messrs. Oook employ about one hundred and fifty men, lshing senson, (from the middle of April to the middle of August) and In May, 1876, I visited the cannery of the Oregon packing company curried ou by J. W. and V. Cook through whose kindness I was enabled

FISHERIES OF SAGRAMENTO AND COLUMBIA SERS. 823	pound can. At the beginning of the season in Δ pril, 1875, prices had dropped to \$4.80 a case, or 10 cents a can, which did not pay expenses, the cost being on an average, \$5 a case. In consequence the canneries in 1875 did not open at all at first, but a little later prices went up again to \$5.90, which gave a margin of profit, and the canneries began operations.	Prices have averaged between \$5.20 and \$5.40 a case this year, which has enabled the canning establishments to make a moderate profit; but the business is not as it has been in past years, when the larger can- neries cleared from \$30,000 to \$70,000 in a season. Besides the fish that were canned on the Columbia last year, (1874,) there were about 250,000 salted and barreled. The salted salmou bring	able number of salmon are, of course, consumed fresh, but owing to the very limited market for them at home, and the impracticability of export- ing them fresh, the quantity so used is in comparison exceedingly small. (See answers to questions relative to food fishes of the United States pages 4-44.)	In concluding these notes on the Columbia River, I will say that in pursuance of my instructions to look up a suitable point for hatching the Columbia River salmon artificially, I made careful inquiries and at last found a place which appears to be in every way suited to the purpose. It is at Klackamas Falls, about 25 miles up the Klackamas River, where both the Salmo quinnat and the Salmo truncatus can be captured	at their respective spawning seasons in vast quantities. Should the United States Fish Commission ever decide to carry on salmon hatch- ing operations on the Columbia, I think it can be done here with distin- guished success.		<i>с</i> и .
7		······································					
i 822 Oly OF COMMISSIONER OF FISH AND FISHERIES.	process the salmou pass through forty or fifty hands. In 1874, the Cook Bro's cut up 98,000 salmon, averaging in weight between 16 and 17 pounds when dressed. They shipped upwards of 30,000 cases con- taining 48 one-pound cans each. ? There were in all in the spring of 1875, fourteen canneries on the Columbia the first being at Astoria, only a far wilse chart the term	the mouth of the Columbia, and the last or uppermost being 60 miles up the river at Rainier. I give below a list of the Columbia River canueries in May, 1875, in the order in which they come as one descends the river from Portland, Oregon.	 R. D. Humo, Rainier. (Sixty miles from the mouth of the river. Not bera) running now. 2. William Humo. 3. George W. Humo. 4. Joseph Hume. 5. A. S. Happool 	6. John West & Co. 25,000 7. T. M. Warren. 35,000 8. Watson Bro's & Braman. 25,000 8. Watson Bro's & Braman. 26,000 8. Watson Bro's & Braman. 26,000 8. Watson Bro's & Braman. 26,000 7. T. b. Humo, Bayview. 30,000 7. T. b. Humo, Bayview. 30,000 7. T. b. Humo, Bayview. 30,000 7. T. b. B. Humo, Bayview. 30,000 7. Il. Columbia River Salmon Company. 30,000 9. Difference. 10, R. D. Humo, Bayview. 10. R. D. Humo, Bayview. 31,000	13. Badalot & Co., Astoria. (Had not begun operations). 15,000 16,000 Total Total	 And Caturery of Joooth & Co., at Astoria, which made no returns last year for the simple reason that it was not built, was ready to commence work at the beginning of the season of 1875. This establishment now employs about 176 men and does a large share of its work by steam. It is the largest on the river and in May, 1875, the proprietors expected to turn out 45,000 cases of salmon, the coming season. Some notion of the magnitude of these establishments may be arrived at by considering that at some of the larger ones the tin alone for the caus costs between \$50,000 and \$100,000. The salmon them selves that are consumed in all the canneries of the river in a year, if placed lengthyise in a line, would reach upwards of 500 miles; while the cans if laid on their sides and placed end to end would reach from New York to Omaha. 	The prices of canned salmon bare varied very much during the last few years. In 1874 the average prices was \$6 a case, or 124 cents per *Usually, though, some two-pound cans are put up.

•

REPORT

EATTLE PUBLIC

031

8639 U

PROPERT

Public Library

Encl 2-1

COMMISSIONER OF FISH AND EISHERIES

INVESTIGATIONS IN THE COLUMBIA RIVER BASIN

IN REGARD TO

THE SALMON FISHERIES.

WASHINGTON: GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE. 1894.



THE SALMON FISHERIES OF THE COLUMBIA RIVER BASIN.

BY MARSHALL McDONALD, United States Commissioner of Fish and Fisheries.

U. S. COMMISSION OF FISH AND FISHERIES, Washington, D. C., May 31, 1894.

Hon. ADLAI E. STEVENSON,

न ५

ULUWBIA HIVEN BASIN

President of the Senate:

SIR: In compliance with instructions conveyed in the provisions of the Sundry Civil Bill, which became a law August 5, 1892, I have the honor to submit a report of investigations in the Columbia River Basin.

The first of the provisions above referred to authorized the expenditure from the appropriation for inquiry respecting food-fishes of \$2,000, or so much thereof as may be necessary, "In examining the Clarke's Fork of the Columbia River, with the view to ascertain the obstructions which prevent the ascent of salmon up said river to the Flathead Lake and adjacent waters."

The second provision directed an investigation and report respecting the advisability of establishing a fish-hatching station at some suitable point in the State of Washington, and appropriated for the same "\$1,000, or as much thereof as may be necessary."

It was not known whether the failure of the salmon to enter the Clarke Fork of the Columbia was due to natural obstructions preventing their ascent, or was to be attributed to the extensive fishing operations prosecuted in the Lower Columbia, or possibly to other causes to be disclosed by the proposed investigation. Again, the location of the hatchery proposed for the State of Washington would be necessarily determined by our ability to secure an adequate supply of spawning salmon within convenient distance of the hatchery.

It appearing probable that the methods of the large fisheries pursued in the Lower Columbia, if permitted to continue, would offectually intercept the run of salmon to the headwaters, and thus defeat the object for which the hatchery is proposed, it was thought proper and expedient to institute a general investigation covering the entire Columbia River Basin, and if conditions were disclosed threatening disaster to these valuable and productive fisheries, to being the matter to the attention of Congress and the States interested in their prosperity.

The direction of the field investigation was intrusted to Prof. B. W. Evermann, assistant in the Division of Inquiry Respecting Food-Fishes, whose report is appended to and constitutes an integral part of the report of the Commissioner of Fisheries.

Encl 2-3

3

INVESTIGATIONS IN THE COLUMBIA RIVER BASIN.

A very complete statistical investigation into the history, methods, apparatus, present conditions, product, and annual value of the salmon fisheries of the Columbia has also been made by Mr. W. A. Wilcox, under the direction of Dr. H. M. Smith, assistant in charge of the Division of Statistics and Methods of the Fisheries, the results of which are embodied and discussed in the report which is herewith respectfully submitted. ないのであったかがない、ここであったないたちのないないないのである

CONDITIONS DETERMINING THE SALMON PRODUCTION OF A RIVER BASIN.

There are fundamental conditious determining the salmon production of a river basin and the nature and extent of the fisheries which may be maintained without overtaxing the productive capacity of the river. All the species of salmon which are the object of the fisheries are alike under the constraint of a natural law, which compels them to enter the fresh waters for the purpose of spawning. Some species ascend to a relatively short distance above tide water. Others, like the chinook, push their migrations to the remotest sources of the rivers and tributary streams when not prevented by natural or artificial obstructions. Where the area of distribution is contracted by the erection of barriers, dams, or other obstructions which the salmon can not surmount, the production of the river is diminished pro tanto, for the reason that the young salmon remain for some months in the waters in which they are hatchedthey must here find their food-and consequently the extent of the feeding-grounds open to them will be the measure of nature's ability to repair the waste occasioned by natural casualties and the fishing operations. If there be no contraction of the breeding area by artificial obstructions, but, on the other hand, the times, methods, and apparatus of the fisheries are such as to intercept or in a large measure prevent the run of salmon into and up the rivers, then a serious decline in the fisheries is

It is possible by fish-cultural operations pursued on an adequate scale, by hatching and planting the fry in the head waters of the Columbia and its tributary streams, to realize the full productive capacity of the river, so long as eggs can be obtained in sufficient numbers to furnish a basis for the extensive operations required. This would not be possible, however, if the fishing operations in the lower river practically excluded the salmon from the streams to which it would be necessary to have recourse to obtain a supply of eggs. It is evident, therefore, that fish-cultural operations can not be relied upon exclusively or chiefly to maintain the salmon supply in the Columbia. The regulation of the times, methods, and apparatus of the fisheries should be such as to assure the largest opportunity practicable for reproduction under natural conditions. Artificial propagation should be invoked as an aid and not as a substitute for reproduction under natural conditions.

THE LIMITS OF MIGRATION OF SALMON.

The limits of migration of salmon in the Columbia River basin, as determined by impassable falls in the larger tributaries of the Columbia and their affluents, is shown in the accompanying chart, there being no serious obstructions existing in the main river within the limits of the United States.

The area of distribution is approximately 90,000 square miles. This immense tract is drained by innumerable streams of clear cold water, into which the salmon enter for the purpose of spawning and up which they ascend till their progress is stopped

Encl 2-4

4

INVESTIGATIONS IN THE COLUMBIA RIVER BASIN.

by falls or other obstructions which they cannot surmount. These waters furnish the feeding grounds of the young salmon during their early life, which is spent in the fresh waters. Their migration seaward does not begin until they are at least a year old and have attained a length of from 3 to 10 inches. These streams are the nurseries of the great salmon fisheries of the lower Columbia. From each goes out every year a colony, more or less numerous, to swell the aggregate of young salmon necessary to repair the waste by natural casualty and by capture.

The area of natural distribution has not as yet been very materially abridged. Certain streams, such as the Bruneau and the Boise, have been obstructed by dams near their mouths, but the vast extent of waters still accessible to salmon and affording suitable breeding and feeding grounds, indicates that we must look to other causes to explain any ascertained deterioration in the salmon fisheries of the Columbia.

DECREASE OF SALMON IN THE HEAD WATERS OF THE COLUMBIA RIVER.

The investigations made by Prof. Evermann and the parties under his direction establish conclusively the fact that there has been a very great reduction in the number of salmon frequenting the head waters of the Columbia River and its tributaries. This decrease is more notable in the main river. In the early history of the fishery salmon were found in the head waters in marvelous abundance. According to the information obtained by Prof. Evermann:

They were abundant in the Columbia River at Kettle Falls as late as 1878. Since then there has been a great decrease. They have been scarce since 1882. Since 1890 there have been scarcely auy at Kettle Falls. The Meyers Brothers say that they have been almost unable to buy any salmon for their own table from the Indians for three years. Certain Indians with whom we talked at Kettle Falls said salmon were once very abundant there, but that very few are seen now. Other persons testified to the same effect. Essentially the same information was obtained regarding the decrease of salmon in other parts of the upper tributaries of the Columbia, viz: at Spokane, in both the Big and Little Spokane rivers, and in the Snake River and its various tributaries.

Dr. O. P. Jenkins, an assistant of Prof. Evermann, makes the following report in reference to the Yakima River, Washington:

The Yakima is the main stream of the valley. It receives many tributaries, the main ones being Manistash and Wilson creeks. The river near the city (Ellensburg) is 160 feet wide, by an average of 10 feet deep, and flows with a velocity of 1 foot per second. Temperature at 9:15 a.m., August 24, 1893, 60° F.; water clear. Those acquainted with the facts state that formerly, up to about 1885, salmon of three or four kinds, including the quinnat, run up the stream to this valley and spawned in the river in great numbers; at present very few make their appearance.

There is no reason to doubt—indeed, the fact is beyond question—that the number of salmou now reaching the head waters of streams in the Columbia River basin is insignificant in comparison with the number which some years ago annually visited and spawned in these waters. It is further apparent that this decrease is not to be attributed either to the contraction of the area accessible to them or to changed conditions in the waters which would deter the salmon from entering them. We must look to the great commercial fisheries prosecuted in the lower river for an explanation of this decrease, which portends inevitable disaster to these fisheries if the conditions which have brought it about are permitted to continue.

The relations of the decreased number of salmon in the head waters to the development of the commercial fisheries is brought out in a very instructive way by an analysis of the following table:

Encl 2-5

atus, mbia mith, s, the spect-

river

thout

:h are com-3cend their t pre-3 conn can 1 that ued-Junds ed by of the ;hods, eve rif ching eams, ued in This lically ourse 18 .Can ımbia. 3 such condite for

ied by shown 3 main

e tract enter copped õ

INVESTIGATIONS IN THE COLUMBIA RIVER BASIN.

Summary of the salmon-canning industry of the Columbia River from its origin to the present time.

Year.	Gross weight of sulmon utilized.	Number of cases packed.	Valne.	Average value per caso.	Year.	Gross weight: of salmon utilized.	Number of cases packed.	Value.	A verage value per case.
1866	Potenda, 260,000 1, 320,000 6,500,000 9,750,000 13,000,000 16,250,000 22,750,000 24,375,000 23,50,000 24,375,000 24,200,000 31,200,000 31,200,000	4,000 18,000 28,000 100,000 200,000 250,000 250,000 350,000 350,000 380,000 450,000 450,000 450,000	\$64,000 288,000 1,350,000 1,350,000 2,100,000 2,325,000 2,250,000 2,250,000 2,475,000 2,475,000 2,475,000 2,450,000 2,300,000 2,360,000	\$16.00 16.00 14.00 13.50 12.00 9.30 9.00 7.50 6.00 5.50 5.40 5.50 5.00 5.50	1831 1833 1834 1834 1834 1835 1836 1839 18	Pounds. 25, 750, 000 35, 184, 500 40, 200, 000 35, 997, 000 23, 162, 000 23, 162, 000 23, 140, 000 24, 211, 005 20, 685, 495 26, 450, 685 22, 185, 995 24, 050, 000 658, 424, 515	550, 000 541, 300 620, 000 553, 300 448, 500 358, 000 372, 477 309, 885 455, 774 288, 937 487, 338 370, 000	\$2.475.000 2.400,000 3.147.000 2.915.000 2.135.000 2.135.000 2.124.000 2.124.000 2.124.000 2.124.000 2.124.000 2.124.000 2.124.000 2.147.456 2.477.009 2.107.500 50,029,790	34.50 1.70 4.51 4.71 4.71 4.70 5.97 5.34 5.53 5.34 5.53 5.50

Canning operations on the Columbia River began in 1866, when 4,000 cases were packed and sold at an average of \$16 per case. As early as 1872 the total pack reached 250,000 cases, the price per case having declined to \$9. Each succeeding year operations were extended and reached their culmination in 1883 and 1884, when upwards of 600,000 cases were packed each season. From this time on the catch declined, having reached its lowest point in 1889, the number of cases packed that season being 309,885, or less than half the number of cases packed in 1883 and 1884.

Up to 1888, practically the entire pack consisted of the king or chinook salmon, and the fishing season did not extend beyond the first of August. In 1889 the packers began canning bluebacks and steelheads to make up the deficiency in the supply, and extended their operations to the first of September.

DETAILED STATISTICS OF THE SALMON INDUSTRY OF THE COLUMBIA RIVER, 1889-92.

The following series of tables shows, in some detail, the extent of the salmon fishery and canning industry of the Columbia River during the years 1889 to 1892, inclusive, as determined by the inquiries conducted by this Commission.

The number of fishermen and shore employes connected with the salmon industry in each of the years named is indicated in Table A:

A.—Table showing the number of persons employed in the salmon industry of the Columbia River from 1889 to 1892.

How engaged.	1889.	1890.	1891.	1892.
Oregoa : Fishermen Shoreemen and caunery employee	1, 606 \$70	1, 648 1, 028	1, 029 1, 057	2, 064 1, 100
Total	2, 476	2, 712	2, 986	3, 164
Washington: Fishermen Sboresmen and cannery employes	1, 535 594	1, 510	1, 575	1,677
Total	2, 129	2, 112	2, 229	2, 381
Total for river: Fisherroon Shoresmen and cannory employee	3, 141 1, 464	3, 194 1, 630	3, 504 1, 711	3, 741 1. St4
10001	4, 605	4, 324	5. 215	5, 545

Encl 2-6

6

Quality of Surface Waters of the United States 1952

Parts 9-14. Colorado River Basin to Pacific Slope Basins in Oregon and Lower Columbia River Basin

Prepared under the direction of S. K. LOVE, Chief, Quality of Water Branch

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY WATER-SUPPLY PAPER 1253

Prepared in cooperation with the States of California and Utah, U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, and with other agencies



UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE, WASHINGTON : 1957

SHARE RIVER MAIN STEN

SNAKE RIVER MAIN STEN--Continued SHAKE RIVER NEAR CLARKSTON, VASH.

LOCHTOR..-One mile domatream from grains station, 1 mile upstream from Alpown Greek, 8 miles downstream from Clarkston, Amotin County, and 133 miles
Wastream from counts.
DAMINGET From works.
DAMINGET --Commission (Notworks) (Notworks) (Notworks)
DAMINGET From works.
DAMINGET --Commission (Notworks) (Notworks) (Notworks)
DAMINGET --Commission (Notworks) (Notworks) (Notworks)
DAMINGET --Commission (Notworks) (Notworks) (Notworks)
DAMINGET --Commission (Notworks) (Notworks) (Notworks)
DAMINGET --Commission (Notworks) (Notworks) (Notworks) (Notworks) (Notworks)
DAMINGET --Commission (Notworks) (Notworks) (Notworks) (Notworks) (Notworks) (Notworks) (Notworks) (Notworks)
DAMINGET --Commission (Notworks) (Notwork

	- Po	8	***	8 9		191	-	= a a	597	1
		H.							***	
	Specific conduct-	mbos at 25°C)	263		22	222	200	a a a	555	198
		tion -	11.							
	į	No-	888	R 8	122	***	***	i i a	***	***
	18	Non- carbon- ata	000		23		220	41-10	NoR	0-0
	20	Calctum, mag- neatum	272		333	222	538	8 E F	388	533
_	0.0	Toes per day	12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1		140 140	828 XXX	×22 ×22	49,15 1,12 1,12 1,12 1,12 1,12 1,12 1,12 1	60, 970 90, 010 50, 100	40, 130 86, 1 70 86, 1 70
ar 196:	olved a	Foot - Long	585	Ŗ :			-	1 72	599	175
o Septemi	Diser (Teeld	Parts Parts Ilon	e i a	Â	121	3 25	185	225	838	535
r 1961 t	Å		X :	!	18,1	181	181	18,1	181	141
overable.	-111	(010)	***			405	***	222	110]°.?
year N		E	0.00	•	 .					***
ion, water	Chio-	10	199	= :	122	1222	973	4 r 4	344	41-8 1-04
per mül		Ç0	295 295	R (\$49	222	\$ \$ \$	833	333	222
in parts	Bicar-	(IIICO.)	811		161	835	23 X	ខ្លួនន	f 5 8	322
alyses,	Potas-	18	999		***		***	nuu Lo4	1.41	144
mical an		(and	25%	Fi 1	8 8 8		839	223	9 33	233
Ð	Ż	13	121		===	1289	110 2	5.00 5.00 5.00	844 808	888 868
	Cel-		538	5	* * *		***	242	222	399
	1	Ē	114	1 1	983	838	282	5 8 8	278	822
			888	* *	255		***	***	222	
	Į	(cfh)	30,780 31,620 44,540	32,960			35, 870 46, 610 75, 750	104, 510 148, 100 194, 500	188,600 196,700 188,300	158, 50 19, 950 19, 950
		Data of collection	Nov.14, 16-7, 19-20, 1961 Nov. 21, 26-29 Dec. 1-10	Dec. 13-16, 17	Jan. 11-30.	765 1-10 765 11-10 765 11-10	Mar. 1-10 Mar. 11-20. Mar. 21-31	Apr. 11-10.	May 1-10 May 11-20 May 21-31	June 1-13 June 13-30

299

June 21-30..... | 94,356 | 1

SNAKE RIVER MAIN STEM

· · · ·

SNAKE RIVER MAIN STEM--Continued

SNAKE RIVER NEAR CLARKSTON, WASH .-- Continued

Temperature ("F) of water, November 1951 to September 1952

	Temperature ("F) of water, November 1951 to September 1952 Day Oct. Nov. Dec. Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May June July Ang. Serie													
Day	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.		
1		- 1	40	-	39	38	46	51	54					
2		1			39	38	46	51	57			03		
3			37		39	39	45	6.9			1 11	00		
4			35	35	40	30	47	53	00		12	64		
5				35	30	20	16		01	10	72	64		
			6597.9				40	04	01	95	72	65		
6			39	36	38	30	50		60					
7		1	40	35	39	39	50	59	60	00	12	05		
8			39	36	38	40	49	03	59	60	71	65		
9				35	90	10	10	00	01	66	73	63		
10			19	36	39		22	04	60	66	73	64		
					28	41	47	53	60	69	73	64		
11				35	38	42	48	64	60	70		-		
12			38	37	38	42	48	6.6	59		13	03		
13			39	38	38	42	49	5.0	50	12	72	63		
14		43	39	32	37	42	10	50	04	72	n	61		
15			40	38	37	42		50	51	71	73	61		
						14		20	57	71	71	59		
16		42		37	37	43	49	53	50		40			
17		42	42	37	37	43	50	54	59	70	09	00		
18				36	37	43	51	55		10	70	60		
19		40		36	37	49	53 1		01	OW		60		
20 [41		35	37			30	02	69	68	61		
		5555	20181		••		51	90	03	70	87	61		
21		40		37	100	49	50							
22				34	36			05	DZ	67	69	62		
23 (13	19	De	81	67	68	62		
24					14	13	DI	56	60	68	69	59		
25		-			30	10	53]	56	61	67	69	61		
-				30	31	44	55	56	58	68	69	61		
26		43								100100	11000			
27		43			31	40	95	67	59	69	67	62		
28		38			30	46	56	57	60	70	65	65		
29		46		30	23	46	56	57	63	71	68	60		
1 1		10		38	38	46	54	57	59	71	65	50		
				39		46	51	56	62	71	64	63		
				39		45		58		72	66			
/0x-														
ugo				36	36	42	50	55	60	60	70			

301

Quality of Surface Waters of the United States 1953

Parts 9–14. Colorado River Basin to Pacific Slope Basins in Oregon and Lower Columbia River Basin

Prepared under the direction of S. K. LOVE, chief, Quality of Water Branch

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY WATER-SUPPLY PAPER 1293

Prepared in cooperation with the States of California and Utah, U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, and with other agencies



UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE, WASHINGTON : 1958

Encl 4-1

SNAKE RIVER MAIN STEM--Continued

SNAKE RIVER NEAR CLARKSTON, VASH.

LOCATION. --One mile downstream from graging station, 1 mile upstream from Alpowa Creek, 8 miles downstream from Clarkston, Asotin County, and 133 miles upstream from mouth.
DRAIMAG ANAL.--Chemical analyses: Norember 1951 to September 1953.
DRAIMAG ANAL.--Chemical analyses: Norember 1951 to September 1953.
Miter temperatures: Norember 1851 to September 1953.
Miter temperatures: Norember 1851.
Miter temperatures: Norember 1851.
Miter temperatures: Norember 1852.
Miter temperatures: Norember 1853.
Miter temperatures: Maximum 312 ppm Oct. 21-31, 1952; minimum, 96 ppm May 21-31, 1952, June 24-30, 1953.
Miter temperatures: Maximum 312 ppm Oct. 21-31, 1952; minimum, 96 ppm May 21-31, 1952, June 24-30, 1953.
Miter temperatures: Maximum 0050796.
Miter temperatures: Maximum 0050796.
Miter temperatures: Maximum 312 ppm Oct. 21-31, 1952; minimum 951, pm May 21-31, 1952, June 24-30, 1953.
Miter temperatures: Maximum 0050796.
Miter temperatures: Ma

Constant analyses, in parts per multica, water year year year year year year year ye		10 C		2					
Chancel analyses, in parts year year year year october 1913 to September 1633 Man		3	5	10 10 cc	•	" 2 K	81999 8	828	***
Contraction Man Man <th< td=""><th></th><td></td><td>Ħd</td><td>8.0</td><td>4.0</td><td>8.0</td><td></td><td>1.50</td><td>440</td></th<>			Ħd	8.0	4.0	8.0		1.50	440
Chemical analyses, in parts per alliton, varier year October 1981 to September 1983. Chemical analyses, in parts per alliton, varier year October 1981 to September 1983. Data of collaction Mann (eff) Mann (fel) Mann (fel) Mann (fel) Mann (fel) Mann (fel) Prove (fel) P		Bpecific conduct-	mhos at 25°C)	8 <u>6</u>	472	414 891 201	22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	266 261 155	111 166 171
Data of collaction Man Man Man Man Part Control with a parts Part Control with a parts Part P		ŝ	Tation 1	1.3	1.2			F. 69.49	<u></u>
Channels Maan		Per-	a o u	222	5	228	****	558	555
Chemical analyses, in parts per million, wear year October 1951 to September 1953 Chemical analyses, in parts per million, wear year October 1951 to September 1953 Data of collaction Maan (cfa) Maan (sta) Maan (sta) Prime (sta) Prim		10	Non- carbon-		••	81 69 KD	*-933	ဂိစစ	10 O O
Chemical analyses, in parts per multical, where real fractional process and collection Chemical analyses, in parts per multical, where real (Mo Choice Flue Plue Choice Choice <th></th> <td>Hard C a</td> <td>Calcium, mag- nesium</td> <td>159 163 163</td> <td>166</td> <td>555</td> <td>92 118 118 108</td> <td>888</td> <td>388</td>		Hard C a	Calcium, mag- nesium	159 163 163	166	55 5	92 118 118 108	888	388
Deta of collaction Maan Mann Billica Billica (eds) Cal- int (eds) Marc (eds) Cal- (eds) Marc (eds) Cal- (eds) Marc (eds) Choice (eds) Plote (eds) Plote (e	8	olids (80°C)	Tons per day	18,030 17,730 18,680	17,300	16,980 18,170 25,870	27,110 20,720 20,060 22,510 26,510	23,780 22,070 34,170	29,650 28,350 36,270
Cont. 1-10. Mannell Billian Chanter allitan Billian Billian Chanter allitan Billian Chanter allitan Billian Chanter allitan Billian Chanter allitan Billian Chanter allian Billian Chante	ber 19	byed a	Tons per hcre- foot	0.41	4	446	*****	X 82	115 115
Deta of collaction Maan discharge Billicia (ca) Team (ca) Cal- bits Mar (ca) Deta of collaction (ca) Cal- bits Mar (ca) Mar (ca) Mar (ca) Deta o	to Septem	Disac (resid	Parts per null-	305	310	200	2228	223	111
Detail of collisation Mean Billicia Tenenical analyses, in parts per multilon, water year Octob Detail of collisation Mean Billicia Event Bodium Points- billicia Bullicia Filo	er 1952	å		0.13	II.	9:8	នេះដេ	181	191
Data of collaction Mean diffication Billication Calment (effa) Billication Calment (effa) Billication Choice Billication Billication Choice Billication Pillication Billication Pillication Billication Pillication Billication Pillication Billication Pillication Billication Pillication	Octob	-IN	INO,)	1.9	2.1	808	1.0001	1.0	10.00
Data of collaction Maan discharge Billica (cb) Maan (cc) Billica (cb) Billica (cb) Maan (cc) Billica (cb) Bi	r year	-01	₽E	0.0.5	-		****		
Data of collaction Mean diffusion Billicia Billicia Team form Cal- bits Mean ber- form Billicia Duration Pointa- bilicia Bullicia Duration Mean billicia Billicia Duration Duration <thduration< th=""> Duration Dur</thduration<>	lion, wate	Chlo-	10) 10)	51 88 8	19	282	9.4541 2	10 5.5	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
Data of collaction Alasa discharge Billica (ca) From (ca) Cal- bits Mag- bits Billica (ca) Chan- bits Billica (ca) Chan- bits Billica (ca) Chan- bits Billica (ca) Chan- bits Billica (ca) Billica (ca) Chan- billica Billica Posta- billica Billica Oct: 11-10, (ca) 23, (ca) 21, (ca) 20, (ca) 35, (ca)	s per mil		(SO)	582	3	885	****	***	18 18 18
Data of collaction Mean discharge Billun (da) Fron (da) Cal. (a) Mag. (a) Collaction (da) Mean (da) Billun (da) Fron (da) Cal. (da) Mag. (da) Posta- (da) Posta- (da) Mag. (da) Posta- (da) Posta- (da) Posta- (da) Posta- (da) Mag. (da) Posta- (da) Mag. (da) Posta- (da) Post	1, in part	Bicar-	bonatio (BCO ₂)	217 211 205	10	282	120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120	108 108 109 109	865
Duta of collaction Mann data (cf) Billian (collaction Billian (collaction Billian (collaction Form (call (cf) Call (cf) Man (cf) Collaction Collaction (cf) Collaction <th>nalyaei</th> <td>Potas-</td> <td>19</td> <td>0.04 0.04</td> <td>4</td> <td>446 996</td> <td>55665</td> <td>2 2 2 2 1 5 6 1 5 6</td> <td>128 1128</td>	nalyaei	Potas-	19	0.04 0.04	4	446 996	5566 5	2 2 2 2 1 5 6 1 5 6	128 1128
Data of collaction Mean Billing Fron Cal. Mage Data of collaction discharge Billing Fron Cal. Mage Oct. 1:-10, 1953 21,1800 35 0.09 35 100 Mage Oct. 1:-10, 1953 21,1800 35 0.09 35 100 Oct. 1:-10, 1953 21,1800 35 0.09 35 100 Oct. 1:-30 22,1700 30 0.04 40 16 Mor. 1:-30 23,1700 35 0.03 36 16 Mar. 1:-10, 1955 23,1200 35 0.03 36 16 Mar. 1:-10, 1955 23,1200 23 13 28 16 Mar. 1:-20 35,500 23 13 28 16 Mar. 1:-20 35,500 23 23 23 11 Mar. 1:-20 35,500 23 23 23 11 Mar. 1:-20 35,500 24 26	emical a		Sodhum (Na)	855	8	588	57283	11 15 8.9	122
Duta of collaction Maan discharge (cfd) Billica (sfd) Cita (sfd) Oct: 11-30 21, 300 35 0.07 39 0.07 39 Oct: 11-30 22, 170 30 0.07 39 0.07 39 Dec. 1-10 22, 170 30 0.07 39 0.07 39 Dec. 1-10 23, 170 39 0.07 39 0.09 39 Pab. 1-10 23, 120 23 23 0.08 39 0.09 39 0.09 39 39 36 39 36 39 36 38 36 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 <td< td=""><th>5</th><td>-geM</td><td></td><td>295</td><td>19</td><td>15 15 9.9</td><td>•=====</td><td>9.1</td><td>5.4 7.94</td></td<>	5	-geM		295	19	15 15 9.9	•=====	9.1	5.4 7.94
Data of collaction Maan discharge (ab) Bilka (ab) From (ab) Oct: 11-10 (ct: 11-20 21,000 21,100 35 0.06 Oct: 11-20 21,000 35 07 Nor. 1-30 22,100 30 07 Dec. 1-31 22,100 35 03 Dec. 1-31 23,500 35 03 Dec. 1-31 23,500 35 03 Mar. 11-30 23,500 35 03 Mar. 11-31 35,500 35 03 Mar. 11-30 35,500 35 35 Mar. 11-31 35,500 35 35 Mar. 11-30 35,500 37 35		Ę.	(Cell	**	\$	***	1224 2	***	15 15 15
Data of collaction Mean Alternary (cla) Billing (cla) Oct. 1-10, 1953 21, 800 35 Oct. 1-10, 1953 21, 800 35 Oct. 1-10, 1953 21, 800 35 Oct. 1-10, 1953 22, 100 35 Nor. 1-30 22, 100 35 Jan. 1-10, 1953 20, 600 35 Mare: 1-10 23, 130 30 Mare: 1-12 35, 350 35 Mare: 11-20 35, 350 35 Mare: 11-30 35, 350 35 Mare: 21-30				80.0	8	8.6.5	5898 8	11 8	888
Data of collaction Maan Oct: 11-10, 1953 21, 580 Oct: 11-30 21, 580 Oct: 11-30 23, 780 Oct: 11-30 23, 780 Nor. 1-30 23, 780 Data 11-31 23, 980 Jam. 11-31 20, 670 Jam. 11-31 23, 450 Mar. 11-30 33, 450 Mar. 11-31 35, 600 Mar. 11-30 35, 600 Mar. 11-30 35, 600 Mar. 11-30 35, 600 Mar. 11-31 55, 600 Mary 1-10 55, 860 May 1-10 55, 860 May 11-30 55, 860				832	8	***		**	283
Duta of collection Oct. 1-10, 1953 Oct. 11-30 Oct. 21-31 Oct. 21-31 Nor. 1-30 Disc. 1-31 Mar. 11-31 11-31 Jan. 11-31 11-32 Mar. 11-30 Agr. 21-31 Mar. 11-30 Mar. 11-30 Mar. 11-30 Mar. 13-31		Mean	diacharge (cfs)	21,890	20, 670	20, 960 23, 120 48, 630	56, 030 35, 200 32, 450 39, 350 53, 230	50, 910 48, 080 112, 000	85, 860 85, 740 115, 800
			Date of collection	Oct. 1-10, 1952 Oct. 11-20	Nov. 1-30	Dec. 1-31	Feb. 1-10 . Feb. 11-28 Mar. 1-10 . Mar. 11-20 Mar. 21-51	Apr. 1-10 Apr. 11-23	May 1-10 May 11-20 May 21-51

SNAKE RIVER MAIN STEM

319

SNAKE RIVER MAIN STEM

· · · ·

SNAKE RIVER MAIN STEN--Continued

SNAKE RIVER NEAR CLARKSTON, WASH .-- Continued

Temperature (* F) of water, water year October 1952 to September 1953 /Once-daily measurement at approximately 8 s.m.7

Day	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.
1	* 58	51	35	37	45	42	49	50	56	61	70	65
2	62	48	36	38	45	42	47	50	56	61	68	65
3	61	48	37	38	45	41	49	50	56	62	70	65
4	61	45	37	39	45	43	48	52	56	63	70	84
5	58	46	37	39	45	42	49	54	55	84		64
6	57		37	39	45	42	50	56	55	64	71	
7	57	44	39	40	45	44	50	56	55	65	72	64
8	57	42	39	40	44	46	50	55	55	61	72	88
9	58	42	37	42	42	44	50	53	55		71	
10	57	45	39	42	42	47	47	51	55	66	70	84
11	58	47	38	42	43	47	48	53	57	67	70	65
12	56	44	39	42	42	48	48	53	57	69	71	68
13	60	47	39	42	43	47	48	54	57	70	70	67
14	62	46	39	43	42	45	49	54	57	70	70	87
15	62	- 44	38	42	42	48	48	55	57	70	69	57
16	53	44	40	43	43	47	50	56	58	69	71	
17	53	45	40	42	42	46	52	57	58	70	70	
18	68	44	39	42	43	45	52	56	58		80	45
19	55	44	39	43	41	45	50	58	58	70	70	65
20	53	45	39	43	41	45	49	55	67	69	71	60
21	51	44	40	43	43	45	51	54	57	69	70	60
22	55	40	36	44	39	47	50	53	58	69	67	41
23	55	40	39	43	39	45	50	53	58	60	80	
24	56	40	37	44	39	47	52	53	59	47		
26	51	39	38	45	40	49	50	52	59	69	66	56
26	51	39	36	44	42	48	56	53	59	67	66	60
27	52	37	36	43	45	49	50	54	59	68	67	50
28	50	36	36	41	45	49	49	54	80	68	67	61
29	52	54	37	42		50	51	56	59	60	66	67
30	51	34	37	43		49	50	55	80	60	70	51
31	52		38	43		49		55		70	65	
ver-	65	43	38	42	41	45	50					

321

.

Quality of Surface Waters of the United States 1954

Parts 9–14. Colorado River Basin to Pacific Slope Basins in Oregon and Lower Columbia River Basin

Prepared under the direction of S. K. LOVE, Chief, Quality of Water Branch

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY WATER-SUPPLY PAPER 1353

Prepared in cooperation with the States of California and Utah, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, and with other agencies



UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE, WASHINGTON : 1959

Encl 5-1

SNAKE RIVER MAIN STEM

SNAKE RIVER NEAR CLARKSTON, VASH.

LOCATION.--One mile dommatrem from gaging station.1 mile upstrem from Alpows Creek, 8 miles downstream from Clarkston, Asotin County, and 133 miles
UNARKS AREK.--IOS oguare miles approximately (above gaging station).
REALINARE AREK.--IOS oguare miles approximately (above gaging station).
REALINARE AREK.--IOS oguare miles approximately (above gaging station).
REALINARE AREK.--IOS of user miles approximately (above gaging station).
REALINARE AREK.--IOS of user miles approximately (above gaging station).
REALINARE AREK.--IOS of user miles approximately (above gaging station).
REALINARE AREK.--IOS of user miles approximately (above gaging station).
REALINARE AREK.--IOS of user miles approximately (above gaging station).
REALINARE AREK.--IOS of user miles approximately (above gaging station).
REALINARE AREK.--IOS of user miles approximately (above gaging station).
REALINARE AREK.--IOS of user miles approximately (above gaging station).
REALINAREK.--Dissolved solids: "Tatimum" 374 pm oct. 21-31; minuum 79 pm May 11-22.
Real statum, 176 pm oct. 21-31, 304 statum, 314 pm oct. 28; minuum observed, 357 Jan. 21.
Real statum, 176 pm oct. 21-31, 304 statum, 304 pm oct. 21-31, 1953; minuum 79 pm May 11-22, 1954.
Real statum, 176 pm oct. 21-31, 304 statum observed, 377 Jan. 21.
Real statume; 176 pm oct. 21-31, 1953; minuum observed, 377 Jan. 21.
Real statume; 176 pm oct. 21-31, 1953; minuum observed, 377 Jan. 21.
Real statume; 176 pm oct. 21-31, 1953; minuum observed, 377 Jan. 21.
Real statume; 176 pm oct. 21-31, 1953; minuum observed, 377 Jan. 21.
Real statume; 176 pm oct. 21-31, 1953; minuum observed, 377 Jan. 314 pm oct. 21-31, 1952; minuum observed, 377 Jan. 314 pm oct. 21-31, 1953; minuum observed, 377 Jan. 314 pm oct. 21-31, 1953; minuum observed, 377 Jan. 314 pm oct. 21-31, 1953; minuum observed, 377 Jan. 314 pm oct. 21-31, 1953; minuum observed, 377 Jan. 314 pm oct. 21-31, 1953; minuum observed, 377 Jan. 314 pm oct. 21-31, 1953; minuum observed, 377 Jan. 314 pm oct. 21-31, 1952; minuum observed, 377 Jan. 314 pm o

924
tember 1
to Ser
1953
October
T VOAL
wate
r million
Darts De
in in
analyse
bemical

		Rd	3.5	2.2	8.1	2.7	9.1	3.6		-	1.6	9.2	2.7	8.1	1.8	3.6	2.5	3.6	1.6	4.5	3.8
	Specific conduct-	ance (micro- mhos at 25°C)	459	474	100	463	\$	4 20		2	Ş	392	399	402	374	327	303	293	314	256	287
	-98	tion p-	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.1	1.2			1.1	1.0	1.1	1.0	•	8	•	æ.	•		
2	Per-		ž	3	2	5	8	32	;		5	8	31	8	8	38	82	8	8	27	8
	ness CO.	Non- carbon- ate	•	•	•	•	•	80	4	•	=	=	~	13	18	12	2	•	-	•	•
	Hard as C	Calcium, mag- nesium	156	163	176	152	162	147		2	146	142	138	147	142	122	113	105	111	93	8
	olids 80°C)	Tons per day	17,840	18, 630	19,420	19, 120	17,190	19,080	V00 01	10, 200	18, 690	18,920	17,920	18, 260	18,010	19.320	20,760	20,990	19.330	21.840	16,750
06L 18	olved a due at 1	Tons per acre-	0.40	ŧ.	3	19.	8	-31	;	8	8	2	2	8	8	8	22	.26	.27	2	-24
no septem	Dise (resi	Parts per mil- lion	206	305	314	300	285	272	1		266	248	251	255	240	212	8	189	661	166	178
CCAT J	Bo-	ē ê	:	0.13	;	;	1	1		:	:	:	1	80.	1	;	8	1	1	8	1
DC DO D	-IN	(NO)	1.6	2.0	2.0	1.9	2.3	2.3		2.2	1.8	2.6	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.1	1.9	1.6	1.5	1.3	1.7
L year	Fluo-	ŧε									-318										
non, water	Chlo-	10 10	17	16	18	18	18	18	;	9	ຊ	16	17	19	11	16	11	12	12	10	H
11 III 190			15	8	23	3	8	19	-	\$	8	\$	\$	\$	\$	8	5	32	*	5	5
in perce	Bicar -	(HCO ₂)	198	211	225	193	190	170		Ĩ	164	160	160	164	152	134	125	117	127	106	118
aryses,	Potas-		4.2	4.5	4.2	4.2	3.8	3.8	•	2.0	3.8	3.2	3.3	2.8	2.8	2.8	3.8	2.1	1.9	2.0	3.6
emical an		Sodium (Na)	8	\$	\$	38	33	8	1	8	31	88	8	28	26	22	12	9	21	16	9
5	Mag-		16	16	16	15	15	1		13	13	14	13	1	14	12	=	9.6	10	8.7	9.0
No.	-tes	C.C.	36	8	\$	36	\$	8		8	3	2	34	36	3	20	5	26	28	2	2
		92 2		_														0.00			
		(SIQ)	31	34	32	*	31	8	8	3	8	28	27	28	28	27	8	5	2	24	ส
	Mean	discharge (cfs)	22, 320	22, 620	22,910	23,600	22, 340	25, 980	000	100 107	26,020	28, 260	26, 440	26, 520	27, 790	33.750	38,450	41.140	35.970	48.720	34,860
		Date of collection	Oct. 1-10, 1953	Oct. 11-20	Oct. 21-31	Nov. 1-10	Nov. 11-20	Nov. 21-30			Dec. 11-20	Dec. 21-31	Jan. 1-10, 1954	Jan. 11-20	Jan. 21-31	Feb. 1-10	Feb. 11-20	Feb. 21-28	Mar. 1-10	Mar. 11-20	Mar. 21-31

SNAKE RIVER MAIN STEM

373

SNAKE RIVER MAIN STEM

· · ·

SNAKE RIVER MAIN STEM--Continued

SNAKE RIVER NEAR CLARKSTON, WASH .-- Continued

Day	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept
1	57	51	48	38	42	45	45	50	54			47
2	55	49	46	41	45	48	48	54	54	60	80	
3	59	47	45	38	43	1 11	46	51		0.5	00	00
4	55	48	48	41	49	49	47	51		03	0.5	00
5	55	48	44	42	43	45	47	54	56	69	65	66
8	55	48	44	41	43	45	47	54	85			
7	58	49		42	41	44	1 47	55	58	70	65	
8	55	51		42	11	45	10	66	50	10	60	65
9 (47		1 42	41	17	10	50		08	07	01
10	56	49	••	39	42	47	48	57	55	68	67	62
11	60	46			41	44	61	45	56	40		
12	57	47	43	59	44	47	50	54	56	80	0.5	04
13	56	47	39	39	42	46	51	55	58	60	00	
14	62	47	41	38	44	45	52	50	50	09	01	0.5
15	57	48	41	38	44	44	50	56	60	70	87 67	63
18	57	47	41	37	44	48	50	50	60			
17	60	45	42	36	44	43	52	50	50		07	03
18	59	47	42	36		45	50	59	55	11	00	01
19	59	48	41	97		1.	50	50	50	10	06	02
20	58	46	41	35	45	45	52	57	56	70	68	61
21	53	46	41	35	44	43	5.	5.8		-		
22	50	45	39	30	47	1		55	50	10	01	03
23	55	44	40	37	45	45	54	50	60		00	61
24	55	46	38	30	16	44	94	24	29		66	60
25	53	46		38	45	45	53	55	60	68	65 65	61
26	48	47	39	30	46	47	54					
27			38	38	44	17		24	04	09	60	
28	54	48	39	10	45		24		01	88	67	61
29	56	45	40	30	40	10		24	60	68	65	59
30	56	47	36			40		20	01	60	64	58
31	50		38	39		44	52	54		69	68	55
ver-	56	47		20						- 10		

375

15 1.

.

a.

Quality of Surface Waters of the United States 1955

Parts 9–14. Colorado River Basin to Pacific Slope Basins in Oregon and Lower Columbia River Basin

Prepared under the direction of S. K. LOVE, Chief, Quality of Water Branch

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY WATER-SUPPLY PAPER 1403

Prepared in cooperation with the States of California and Utah, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, and with other agencies



UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE, WASHINGTON : 1959

SNAKE RIVER BASIN

	Bd				8.6	8.6	8.1	0.00	
Specific conduct-	ance (micro- mhos at 25°C)	55	39	22	446 450	\$Ş	32	595	318
-92	disorp- tion ratio	11:	11.	13	1.2	11	==	::::	111
Per-		881	523	3 12	32	22	88	855	383
ness CO.	Non- carbon- ate		•	- 10	96	39	•=	207	0 0 0
Hards	Calcium, mag- nesium	39		199	156	166	162	59 55	125
elids 80C)	Toas per day	18, 340	225	18,430	18, 180	16, 780	16,680	15,920	14, 840
bived a	Tons per acre- foot	9.9	8 8 1	5	2,9	98	85	588	***
Diss. (resid	Parts mil- lion	22	581	SE	282	28	285	256	228
Å	n (B)	0.12	118	51	18	11	8 1	181	181
-IN	(NO _s)	2.16			2.7		1.1	1.2	111
Fluo-	ride (F)								
Chio-	ride (CI)	9 8	9 99 9	22	81 2	.9.9	99	8 8 9	1995
	(°05)	881	8 35 1	39	2 2	88	88	\$\$\$.999
Bicar-	bonate (HCO ₂)	181	187	174	181	100	172	176	
Potas-	stum (X)	1.4			.				
	(Na)	9 81	58	7 8	33	89	85	585	
-SetM	atum (Mg)	99	12:	**	11	1212	22	292	1929
Cal-	(Ca)	58	55	85	59	49	\$8	\$ 25	***
	le l								
	(SIO.)	583	កត	85	87	179	85	222	1583
Metan	discharge (cfs)	23, 110	12.8	25,000	23, 880 21, 850	20, 990	21,680	21, 840 20, 790	22,22
	Date of collection	Oct. 1-10, 1954	Nov. 1-10	Nov. 21-30	Dec. 1-10	Dec. 21-31	Jan. 11-20 Jan. 21-31	Feb. 1-10 Feb. 11-19	Mar. 1-10 Mar. 11-20 Mar. 21-31
	Menn Cal- Mag Potas- Bicur Chio. Fluo- Ni- Bo. (restidue at 180C) as CaCo, Per- So- conduct-	Date of collection Mean (data Cal- (data Wag- (be) Potas- (be) Bitu- (cale) Nin- (cale) Cal- (cale) Wag- (cale) Potas- (cale) Bitu- (cale) Nin- (cale) Cal- (cale) Wag- (cale) Potas- (cale) Bitu- (cale) Nin- (cale) Cal- (cale) Wag- (cale) Potas- (cale) Bitu- (cale) Nin- (cale) Part (cale) Part (cale)	Data of collection Mean (archarge (arc) Early (arc) Total (arc) Mar (arc) Points (arc) Fluo- (arc) Fluo- (arc) N- (arc) Do- (arc) Dissobred solids (arc) Hardmess (arc) Per- (arc) Specific (arc) Specific (arc)	Data of collection Mean (accharge (ac) Total (ac) Mean (ac) Part (ac) Data of collection Bard (accharge (ac) Part (ac) Part (ac) Bard (accharge (ac) Data of collection Bard (accharge (ac) Bard (accharge (ac) Bard (accharge (ac) Data of collection Bard (accharge (ac) Part (ac) Part	Date of collection Mean (cas) Fun- (cas) Mean (cas) Fun- (cas) Max-dmees (cas) Max-dmees (cas) Max-dmees (cas) Max-dmees (cas) Par- (cas) Max-dmees (cas) Par- (cas) Max-dmees (cas) Par- (cas) Max-dmees (cas) Par- (cas) Par- (cas) Max-dmees (cas) Par- (cas) Max-dmees (cas) Par- (cas) Par- (cas)	Data of collection Mean (acharge (ac) Mean (bean Part (ac) Discorted onlide (ac) Hardmess (ac) Part (ac) Part (ac)	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	

386

LOCATION .-- One mile downstream from gaging station, 1 mile upstream from Alpowa Creek, 8 miles downstream from Clarkston, Asotin County, and 133 miles

SNAKE RIVER NEAR CLARKSTON, WASH.

SNAKE RIVER MAIN STEM

.

SNAKE RIVER BASIN

SNAKE RIVER MAIN STEM--Continued

SNAKE RIVER NEAR CLARKSTON, WASH .-- Continued

Day	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept
1	55	48	38	38	38	80	46	0k	54	E7		
2		47	38	38	36	30	46	51		51	1	000
3	55	46	39	38	35	1 10	47		50	59	12	08
4	59	47	40	95				51	03	57	70	08
5	55	47	38	97	24	33	40	53	24	20	11	72
	1.000				30		40	04	57	59	70	69
6	55	50	38	37	36	39	45	54	55	56	70	69
7	57	47	38	37	40	45		54	56	80		
8	56	49	39	37	42	39	45	55	56	80	70	
9	60	47	39	36	37	38	40	53	57	50		00
10	59	47	43	35	35	90	47	54	57		0.0	
					1		1 30			01	1 10	00
11	56	46	43	34	36	41	47	54	58	81	77	67
12	57	47	40	35	39	41	47	54	58		20	
13	58	47	39	36	40	41	47	64	50			1 43
14	55		39	35	39	40	47	51	57	45	71	66
15	56	4B	39	35	38	39	47	49	57	67		00
			100000					10	57	07		03
16	58	48	36	35	39	30	47	50	57	70		
17	55	47	36	38	37	38	47	52	57	50	60	01
18	59	48	35	36	34	38	47	50	57	09	0.0	01
19	53	46	37	35	35	38	47	54	57	10		09
20	52	46	34	36	36	41	47	56	50	10	00	00
											10	00
21		46	35	36	33	41	49	55	60	71	67	80
22	52	47	35	37	38	43	50	54	AL	73	69	60
23	58	49	39	37	37	45	50	64	60	74	60	30
24	59	48	36	36	40	40	50	54	60	12	00	1 21
25	52	50	35	37	40	39	50	59	50			
			1.000								00	50
26	59	47	34	36	38	90	50		50			
27	47	45	34	38	37	30	30	50	56	72	00	58
28	46	43	34	35	30	44	40	03	59	72	05	56
29	46	44	35	35			50	54	50	70	68	60
30	47	40	38	35		45	40	50	38	70	60	56
31	47		40	35		45	13	58		69	66	55
ver-						-10		09		70	61	
-	55	47	38	36	37	40	48	59	57	44	60	

388

 · . · .

Quality of Surface Waters of the United States 1956

Parts 9–14. Colorado River Basin to Pacific Slope Basins in Oregon and Lower Columbia River Basin

Prepared under the direction of S. K. LOVE, Chief, Quality of Water Branch

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY WATER-SUPPLY PAPER 1453

Prepared in cooperation with the States of California, New Mexico, and Utah, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, and with other agencies



UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE, WASHINGTON : 1960

SNAKE RIVER BASIN

SNAKE RIVER MAIN STEM

SNAKE RIVER AT CENTRAL FERRY, NEAR POMEROY, VASH.

		Ħ	0.8	8	8.0	7.7		9.1	7.0	7.6	7.6	~ * *		1.8	
	Specific conduct-	ance (micro- mhos at 25°C)	919	413	383	309	296	166	258	334	301	286		135	
	-08	dium adsorp- tion ratio	2.1	10	1.1	1.0		•.	° .°	1:0	•	e, e,		•.•.	
	Per-	so- dium		58	33	32	55	8	33	8	8	**	88	25	
	100	Non- carbon- ate	5	~ ~	•	•	¢ 04	•	-+	80		F-10	*0	•••	(
	Hard Con	Calcium, mag- nesium	136	68	124	103	101	24	21	911	106	8 <mark>1</mark>	24	\$3	
	olids 80°C)		15, 160	16,290	16, 910	17,240	17,690	1	19, 120	19,020	20,070	36,880	32,460	35,890	
1956	bived a	Per a	0.35	8.8	.32	2		1	28	. 39	.26	52.61	91	518	
sptember	Diss((resid	Parts Per Ber	256	52 IS	238	181	191	1	174	214	2	181	81 8	33	-
55 to 8	- B	2ê	1	5:	.02	1	18	1	18	1	8.	8 :	18	18	
ber 19	-ix	No.	1.9	4 4 4 -	2.2	2.6	**	3.0	4 4 6 0	3.3	2.5	2.4	9.1	~	
ar Oct	- data	2E	0.4	ń. 4	•?	•	n .0	:	n	e :	s .	n	<i></i>		:
water ye	Chlo-	10	17	8 8	16	12	12	5.5	22	H	13	11 6.5	8.6	140	:
r million,		Sulfate (SO ₄)	51	\$ 13	46	36	28	:	**	36	31	89	810	12	
parts pe	Blear-	bomate (HCO ₂)	160	5	149	121	112	8	80 11	132	20	711 87	22	865	:
868, m	Dotae	12	4.2		3.8	3.5	3.1	3° 20	3.0	3.2	3.1	5 i 0	4 - - a		:
cal analy		Bodium (Na)	8	52	8	ม	22	H	28	24	31	81	2	- 00 F	;
Chent	, Kag	e mini	13	22	13	9.8	8.1	4.2	7.5	2	8.3	8.9 9.0	50		:
	ż	13	8	55	8	*	58	9	42	8	8	85	8:	12	;
		(Fe)	0.05	នុទុ	9	8.	\$8	1	82	8	.02	8,9	88	383	5
		Silica (SiO)	8	នន	8	12	22	1	88	8	2	**	25	:5:	:
	ļ	discharge (cfs)	21,940	25,510	26, 310	32, 410	24, 300	69,400	40,690 47,490	32,920	38,320	43, 730	87,120	141,400	
		Date of collection	Oct. 1-10, 1955	Oct. 11-20	Nov. 1-5, 9-11, 15-20.	Nov. 6-8, 12-14, 21-30	Dec. 1-4, 13-15, 20-21 Dec. 5-12, 16-19	Dec. 26-31	Jan. 1-14, 1956 . Jan. 15-31	Feb. 1-10, 12-14, 17-19	Feb. 11, 15-16, 20-29	Mar. 1-19	Apr. 1-13	May 1-14	····· To-of Ame

398

SNAKE RIVER BASIN

SNAKE RIVER MAIN STEM--Continued SNAKE RIVER AT CENTRAL FERRY NEAR POMERCY, WASH .-- Continued

.

Temperature ("F) of water, water year October 1955 to September 1956

Day	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sect
1	59		41	\$7	32	39		51	60	AR	71	60
2		48	42	39	32	40	47	51	50			00
	59	46	41	37		10	14					00
	57	47				40	47			01		1 01
	58	48	30	90	1		1.1			04	72	67
•					33				50	04	70	67
6		44		38	34	40	47		55	65	72	62
7	58	45		38	33	41	48	53	58	67	73	61
8	55		39		34	41		49	61	68	74	61
9	55	47	39	40	35	40	50	51	63	72	74	
10	54	38	38	39	36	40	50	50	8.	79		
-	1000									10		01
11	54			39	36		51	50	59	73	74	68
12	54	39	39	39		40	51	51	80	75		
13	55		37	38	37	42	53		61	74		1 20
14		35	36			43	53	53		74	10	10
15	58	33	34		35	49	~	55	60	12	70	69
									54	14	10	0.8
16	58			39	34	40	50					1
17	58	33	35		35	44	52		04	73	75	70
18	57	34			35		54		59	74	78	71
10	59	35	95				52	28	61	76	76	70
20	58		35	10		11	52	58	60	76	77	71
~	10			38	34	45	23		62	75	77	
21	58	34	36	40	16		En					
22	56	33		40	30	10	92	55	62	75	76	
21	57			42		20		50	62	75	76	
24	55				30	40	52	55	60	77		
56	56	10			39	40	51	55		78		
~				33	28		51	55	62	79	72	
26		40	41	37	38	4	51	64			-	
27			39	37	39	48	**	54	04	10	71	
28	52	38		35	40	47		50	07 1	11	71	
29	51	40		32	30	47		00	66	17	61	
30		40		31		- 1		04	66	77	61	
31	48			32			6 0		67	76	68	62
ver-			10/01							76	69	
age					35				60	74	73	

400

· · · ·

.

-

Quality of Surface Waters of the United States 1957

Parts 9–14. Colorado River Basin to Pacific Slope Basins in Oregon and Lower Columbia River Basin

Prepared under the direction of S. K. LOVE, Chief, Quality of Water Branch

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY WATER-SUPPLY PAPER 1523

Prepared in cooperation with the States of California, New Mexico, and Utah, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, and with other agencies



UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE, WASHINGTON : 1961

Encl 8-1

SNAKE RIVER BASIN

H

	Specific conduct-	ance (micro- mhos at 25°C)	432	406	405	425	382	8	237	8	3	8	103	134	8	140	224	303
	ŝ	dium tion p-	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.0		•	é			s.	ŝ	•	9.	•	1.1
	Per-		33	33	2	31	8	8	8	*	R	12	8	2	8	8	8	R
		Non- ate at	م م		0	12	a	1	-	-1	• •	5	0	•	•	•	•	•
	Hards Cal	alcium, mag- nesium	143	137	142	150	134	65	81	5	20	22	36	47	36	48	73	8
	0°C)		18,720	19, 270	17,860	17,210	16,960	51,750	31, 820	20, 510	29, 250	11, 650	41,570	49,980	33,480	18,720	15,940	13,980
1957	lved so se at 18	Per -	0.37	35.	35.	98.	.32	.23	ន	81.	5		н.	.12	8	.13	61.	52
September	Disso (residi	Parts per lion	269	254	256	266	237	160	166	132	126	2	78	16	8	92	139	185
956 to	-B6-	20	: 8	1	10.	10.	8	;	8	1	8	I	8	1	:	8	8	1
tober 1	Ni-	NO.	2.2	2.3	2.1	2.3	2.6	4.1	2.1	1.3	2.1	Ŀ.	\$.		ņ	•	2.	1.0
year Oc	Fluo-	i E										1940						
n, water	Chlo-	10 10	18 18	16	16	18	16	5.5	8.5	6.5	9.9	a.5	2.5	4.8	3.2	4.2	8.0	=
er millio		Sulfate (SO,)	22	\$	4	51	\$	8	3	11	5		8.8	13	6.7	-	2	31
n parts p	Bicar-	bomate (HCO ₃)	168 154	158	162	168	153	78	86	8	2	8	\$	28	\$	2	81	121
yses, h	Potas-					(1994 - 19	2 2 2 <i>2</i> 1			21-24								
nical anal	:	Bodium (Na)	88	31	16	1	36	13	2	12	12	1.7	6.5	4.7	6.6	9	17	8
Chen	-gail	a min Mg)	13 12	12	12	14	12	5.5	6.9	9.0	2	1.5	2.0	3.6	3.6	3.5	6.2	1.8
	-10	Ca)	% %	8	5	5	3	11	21	17	11	0.0	11	13	9	1	19	8
		s e										5 3	147					
Toma		Silica (SiO.)																
TEDOT AN	Mean	discharge (cfs)	25, 780	28, 100	25,840	23, 960	26, 500	119,800	70,990	57, 540	86,860	81,720	197,400	203, 400	179,700	75, 380	42, 470	27,990
an in emitted		Date of collection	Oct. 1-15, 1956 Oct. 16-31	Nov. 1-30	Dec. 1-4, 31	Jan. 1-31, 1957	Feb. 1-25	Feb. 26-28	Mar. 1-21	Mar. 22-31	Apr. 1-26	Apr. 27-30	May 1-21	May 22-31	June 1-15	June 16-30	July 1-17	July 18-31

454

LOCATION .-- At bridge on U. S. Highway 295 at Central Perry, Garfield County, 14 miles northwest of Pomeroy and about 36 miles downstream from gaging station

SNAKE RIVER AT CENTRAL FERRY NEAR POMEROY, VASH.

SNAKE RIVER MAIN STEM

SNAKE RIVER BASIN

SNAKE RIVER MAIN STEM--Continued

Temperature (*P) of water, water year October 1956 to September 1957

Day	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept
1	60	46	40	38			40	45	62	68	78	79
2	60	45	40			35	40	45	62	a l	75	1 75
3	62	45	40	38		35	40	45	42	70	74	1
4	61	45	39	38		35	41	15		1.0	1 12	1
5	60	45		38		35	43		62	70	73	
6	60	45		38		35	49	45				
7	61	45		36			41				1.	14
8	61	45	40	1 36		35	40	1 12	00	12	1 12	73
9	62	45	40	1 34				1 10	00	1 11	75	
10	59	45	40	1		35	13	12	56	172	75	
~			40			30	13	45	60	73	75	72
11	59	45		36		35	43	45	60	74		72
12	59	45		34			43		60	74		72
13	59	45	40	34			43	53	60	74		
14	58	45	42	34		37	43	53	60			
15	56	45	42	34		35	43		60	73	75	
16	56		42		32	37	43			73	74	
17	56		42	34	32	40		53	60	72	74	
10	55	(34	32	40	43	63		72		70
19	54		42	34		40	43		62	73	100	20
20	54		42	34	32	40	45		64	73		71
21	54		42		15	40		1000		1983	10745230	00000
22			40			-10			0.5			
23	50		40			40	15		03	74	74	
24	50	(·	40			10	10	51		72		70
25	51					10	10	28	64	75		69
					35	40	40	60	65	76		65
26	50		40		35	40	45	60	85	79	76	
27	48		38		35	40	45	60	44	74	70	
28	47	40	40		35	40	45	69	00		14	00
29	47	40	40			40	45	49	40		14	07
30	47	40	40	I		40		40	60	14	17	66
31	47		38			40				73	73	66
ver-	55					38	44		62	73		

SNAKE RIVER AT CENTRAL FERRY NEAR POMEROY, WASH .-- Continued

•

. . . .

From:	Gene Spangrude
To:	Species Conservation
Subject:	Snake River Water Temperature Data Collection
Date:	Thursday, November 14, 2019 8:02:36 AM

On the one hand, Snake River Water Temperatures are a matter of current concern in 2019; as can be seen from the recent letter from approximately 55 Scientists to various Policy Makers; regarding Water Temperatures on the Lower Snake River; especially as related to the Lower Snake River Projects.

The Year 2015 is often mentioned as being a 'Year of Recent Concern/Interest' with respect to Water Temperatures in the Snake River Basin.

On the other hand, basic Water Temperature Data Collection was terminated at the Snake River near Weiser, Idaho; data collection station soon after the 2015 runoff season; as can be seen at this link to the USGS Snake River near Weiser web site:

https://nwis.waterdata.usgs.gov/usa/nwis/uv/? cb_00010=on&cb_00060=on&format=gif_default&site_no=13269000&period=&begin_date=2015-05-01&end_date=2016-04-30

The apparent reason for the termination of Water Temperature Data Collection given at the Web Site is a 'Loss of Funding.'

The Snake River at Weiser location is an indicator of the Snake River's 'inflowing conditions' to the downstream Hells Canyon Projects; and ultimately to the Lower Snake River Projects as well. Given the current regional interest in 'Water Temperatures' it is somewhat interesting to see that this Water Temperature data collection can no longer be afforded at this location on the Snake River.

Hopefully the funding for the collection of this Water Parameter can be restored and data collection resumed; given the importance of Water Temperatures on the Lower Snake River.

Gene Spangrude

Governer's Salmon Workgroup

The Columbia and Snake River systems once contained some of the greatest salmon and steelhead runs in the world!! Salmon support a unique and irreplaceable way of life throughout the Pacific Northwest. They are a keystone species bringing nutrients from the ocean back to high mountain streams where they are a critical food source for both plants and animals.

Idaho is the epicenter of Salmon and Steelhead survival in the Columbia river drainage, it contains thousands of miles of high elevation streams that provide pristine, climate change resistant spawning habitat for anadromous fish. Sadly, however wild anadromous fish runs are in horrific decline and wild fish are near extinction in Idaho. What's is the major cause of the decline? It is the existence of the four Snake River dams in Washington and the numerous other dams along the Snake River. These dams posse a insurmountable barriers to the Steelheed and Salmon, these magnificent fish are simply unable to reach hundereds of miles of native spawning habitat which prohibits them from being able to complete their life cycle.

Recent dam removal success stories have demonstrated that restoring free flowing rivers helps restore entire river ecosystems. Where large dams have been removed, fish health and habitat have recovered even faster than scientists have predicted. Examples of this are the White Salmon and Elwha rivers in Washington. An additional benefit to the restoration of a healthy free flowing river is revitalized riparian related commercial enterprises and recreational pursuits.

As and Idahoan I, firmly believe that is time to remove the four dams on the lower Snake River and consider removal of other dams along the Snake River such as Hell's Canyon, Oxbow and Brownlee Dams. Various government agencies spend approximately 600 million dollars a year on trying to keep anadromous salmonid numbers viable and they are failing; there are simple to many dams for these fish to navigate.

We don't need these dams anymore, but we do need to bring back our irreplaceable wild salmon and steelhead. If we neglect to remove these dams the science is clear; Idaho's wild anadromous fish, our prized Idahoan Chinook, Sockeye and Steelhead will become extinct. I hope that the committee will do all they can to support restoring our wild fish runs and do what is necessary to save these iconic fish for all of us to enjoy for generations to come.

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel Roper

2556 9th Ave East, Twin Falls, Idaho

From:	Gene Spangrude
To:	Species Conservation
Subject:	Re: Snake River Water Temperature Data Collection
Date:	Saturday, November 16, 2019 3:46:25 AM

I request that this Link to a United States Geological Survey Mean Daily Temperature Summary for the Snake River at Anatone; be added to my prior comments as well:

https://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis/dvstat?

referred_module=sw&site_no=13334300&por_13334300_180457=1181002,00010,180457,1994-10-01,2019-07-16&format=html_table&stat_cds=mean_va&date_format=YYYY-MM-DD&rdb_compression=value&submitted_form=parameter_selection_list

A Temperature value of 20 Degrees C (68 Degrees F) is often given as a 'Critical Temperature' for Salmon survival.

The data summary at the above link shows that the 'Mean Daily Temperature' for the Snake River at the USGS Anatone Gage location exceeds 20 Degrees C for much of July, all of August, and much of September; based on the available data for the Anatone Location.

The Anatone Gage is an indicator of the 'Snake River inflowing conditions' to the four Lower Snake River Projects. Its data shows that the Snake River's Mean Daily Temperatures are above the 20 Degrees C thresh-hold for extended periods of time; even upstream of the four Lower Snake River Projects.

Hopefully this information will be thoughtfully considered as part of the current interest in the future of the four Lower Snake River Projects.

Gene Spangrude

On Thu, Nov 14, 2019 at 7:10 AM Species Conservation <<u>Species.Conservation@osc.idaho.gov</u>> wrote:

Gene,

I will add the info from your previous email to the public comment you submitted on 11/13/19 to the Governor's Salmon Workgroup. Thank you for your interest in Idaho salmon recovery.

Irina Voyce | Administrative Assistant

Governor's Office of Species Conservation

208-332-1550 species.idaho.gov

From: Gene Spangrude <geneandcassie@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 14, 2019 8:02 AM
To: Species Conservation <<u>Species.Conservation@osc.idaho.gov</u>>
Subject: Snake River Water Temperature Data Collection

On the one hand, Snake River Water Temperatures are a matter of current concern in 2019; as can be seen from the recent letter from approximately 55 Scientists to various Policy Makers; regarding Water

Temperatures on the Lower Snake River; especially as related to the Lower Snake River Projects.

The Year 2015 is often mentioned as being a 'Year of Recent Concern/Interest' with respect to Water Temperatures in the Snake River Basin.

On the other hand, basic Water Temperature Data Collection was terminated at the Snake River near Weiser, Idaho; data collection station soon after the 2015 runoff season; as can be seen at this link to the USGS Snake River near Weiser web site:

https://nwis.waterdata.usgs.gov/usa/nwis/uv/? cb_00010=on&cb_00060=on&format=gif_default&site_no=13269000&period=&begin_date=2015-05-01&end_date=2016-04-30

The apparent reason for the termination of Water Temperature Data Collection given at the Web Site is a 'Loss of Funding.'

The Snake River at Weiser location is an indicator of the Snake River's 'inflowing conditions' to the downstream Hells Canyon Projects; and ultimately to the Lower Snake River Projects as well. Given the current regional interest in 'Water Temperatures' it is somewhat interesting to see that this Water Temperature data collection can no longer be afforded at this location on the Snake River.

Hopefully the funding for the collection of this Water Parameter can be restored and data collection resumed; given the importance of Water Temperatures on the Lower Snake River.

Gene Spangrude

From:	Gene Spangrude
To:	Species Conservation
Subject:	Re: Snake River Water Temperature Data Collection
Date:	Saturday, November 16, 2019 10:44:36 AM

I request that this Link to a report on Lower Snake River Temperature Modeling in the Year 2015 be added to my prior submittals:

https://www.columbiariverkeeper.org/sites/default/files/2017/08/Computer-modeling-shows-that-Lower-Snake-River-dams-caused-dangerously-hot-water-for-salmon-in-2015-final.pdf

The report at the above link does illustrate my prior thoughts submitted to the Idaho Website regarding 2015 Snake River Water Temperatures; in that this report apparently does not include the Snake River at Weiser Gage information in its analysis.

As can be seen from the Water Temperature information at the Weiser Gage link I previously submitted, even at upstream locations on the Snake River, such as the Weiser Gage location, the 2015 Snake River Water Temperatures

exceeded the 68 Degree F (20 Degree C) thresh hold suitable for Salmon Survival.

I do believe that this upstream boundary condition for Snake RIver Water Temperatures at Weiser is very relevant to discussions about the Lower Snake River Water Temperatures; and request that it be made part of the current discussions

concerning the future of the Lower Snake River Projects.

Gene Spangrude

On Sat, Nov 16, 2019 at 2:46 AM Gene Spangrude <<u>geneandcassie@gmail.com</u>> wrote:

I request that this Link to a United States Geological Survey Mean Daily Temperature Summary for the Snake River at Anatone; be added to my prior comments as well:

https://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis/dvstat?

referred_module=sw&site_no=13334300&por_13334300_180457=1181002,00010,180457,1994-10-01,2019-07-16&format=html_table&stat_cds=mean_va&date_format=YYYY-MM-DD&rdb_compression=value&submitted_form=parameter_selection_list

A Temperature value of 20 Degrees C (68 Degrees F) is often given as a 'Critical Temperature' for Salmon survival.

The data summary at the above link shows that the 'Mean Daily Temperature' for the Snake River at the USGS Anatone Gage location exceeds 20 Degrees C for much of July, all of August, and much of September; based on the available data for the Anatone Location.

The Anatone Gage is an indicator of the 'Snake River inflowing conditions' to the four Lower Snake River Projects. Its data shows that the Snake River's Mean Daily Temperatures are above the 20 Degrees C thresh-hold for extended periods of time; even upstream of the four Lower Snake River Projects.

Hopefully this information will be thoughtfully considered as part of the current interest in the future of the four Lower Snake River Projects.

Gene Spangrude

On Thu, Nov 14, 2019 at 7:10 AM Species Conservation <<u>Species.Conservation@osc.idaho.gov</u>> wrote:

Gene,

I will add the info from your previous email to the public comment you submitted on 11/13/19 to the Governor's Salmon Workgroup. Thank you for your interest in Idaho salmon recovery.

Irina Voyce | Administrative Assistant

Governor's Office of Species Conservation

208-332-1550 species.idaho.gov

From: Gene Spangrude <<u>geneandcassie@gmail.com</u>> Sent: Thursday, November 14, 2019 8:02 AM To: Species Conservation <<u>Species.Conservation@osc.idaho.gov</u>> Subject: Snake River Water Temperature Data Collection

On the one hand, Snake River Water Temperatures are a matter of current concern in 2019; as can be seen from the recent letter from approximately 55 Scientists to various Policy Makers; regarding Water Temperatures on the Lower Snake River; especially as related to the Lower Snake River Projects.

The Year 2015 is often mentioned as being a 'Year of Recent Concern/Interest' with respect to Water Temperatures in the Snake River Basin.

On the other hand, basic Water Temperature Data Collection was terminated at the Snake River near Weiser, Idaho; data collection station soon after the 2015 runoff season; as can be seen at this link to the USGS Snake River near Weiser web site:

https://nwis.waterdata.usgs.gov/usa/nwis/uv/? cb_00010=on&cb_00060=on&format=gif_default&site_no=13269000&period=&begin_date=2015-05-01&end_date=2016-04-30

The apparent reason for the termination of Water Temperature Data Collection given at the Web Site is a 'Loss of Funding.'

The Snake River at Weiser location is an indicator of the Snake River's 'inflowing conditions' to the downstream Hells Canyon Projects; and ultimately to the Lower Snake River Projects as well. Given the current regional interest in 'Water Temperatures' it is somewhat interesting to see that this Water Temperature data collection can no longer be afforded at this location on the Snake River.

Hopefully the funding for the collection of this Water Parameter can be restored and data collection resumed; given the importance of Water Temperatures on the Lower Snake River.

Gene Spangrude

Governor's Salmon Workgroup Public Comment Form

Comments to the Governor's Salmon Workgroup will be accepted in-person or by email submitted to species@osc.idaho.gov

Date:	November 19, 2019
Name:	Will Tiedemann
Phone:	208-559-0370
Email:	wktiedemann@gmail.com

Comments:

My name is Will Tiedemann. I was born and raised in Boise, and I currently still live and work in Boise as an environmental engineer. I am an avid member of Idaho's outdoor community having spent countless hours rafting, hiking, biking and camping through the many corners of Idaho's outdoor landscapes. Most relevantly, I have spent the majority of my life whitewater rafting with my family and friends through the wild rivers of Idaho, including the Main and Middlefork of the Salmon. The survival of Idaho's Salmon is a cause I truly care about.

The science is clear, human impact on Salmon populations and their environment is the primary cause of their dire situation. However, what is not clear is how our society, and more specifically, Idahoans will respond. I am disappointed that the November 19th meeting of the Governor's Salmon Working Group has decided not to offer in-person public comment. However, instead I have taken the time today to prepare these short written comments with a single focus in mind; legacy. Advocacy for Salmon populations involves hundreds of thousands of individuals. Be it outfitters, anglers, rafters, conservationists, and recreationists, each has a specific and unique interest in seeing Idaho's Salmon populations return to their former magnificence. Yet, today in Idaho, perhaps no individuals have a greater opportunity to influence the lawmakers who will decide the fate of Idaho's Salmon then just the few members of the Governor's Working Group. The ultimate outcome and recommendations of the Governor's Working Group will affect not just the hundreds of thousands listed above, but each and every person born after them into the same roles, interests and values who believe in the survival of Salmon. At this perspective, we are now talking about millions and millions of future individuals who, considering the current state of affairs, many never get to experience Idaho's Salmon at all, unless action is taken. Should action fail and Idaho's Salmon populations lapse into extinction, I imagine this point in the long story of Salmon's eventual end will be looked back on with sadness, frustration and anger. Idahoans will ask, what went wrong, and how did this happen? When all there is left of Salmon is aquarium stocks and stories, Idahoans will ask, what could have been done but wasn't? While each Idahoan has a shared and collective responsibility in Salmon's survival, I ask what legacy do those with some of the most power in Salmon's fate choose to leave behind?

From:	Sarah Harris
To:	Species Conservation
Subject:	Copy of Comments made in Twin Falls, 10-30-2019
Date:	Tuesday, November 19, 2019 10:36:27 AM

October 30,2019 Twin Falls, Idaho Governor Little's Salmon Workgroup Meeting

My name is Sarah Harris. I reside at 1467 Falls Ave W in Twin Falls, ID. I was born and raised in Hailey Idaho into a family of erstwhile, and not very good hunters and fishermen. My dad preferred salmon caught in the ocean rather than those caught in the Sawtooth Basin that were, according to him, worn out and mushy, having traveled three miles short of 900 miles to get to our neck of the woods. Consequently, we ate a lot of trout and very little salmon at our house.

As a kid I am certain that I caught many a Sockeye smolt, along with shinners in Redfish lake. We carried them around in buckets and put them in little "lakes" that we dug in the sand. I have vivid memories of seeing Sockeye returning to their natal waters. In 1969, on a school field trip we drove over Galena Summit to watch Sockeye struggle up the rapids on Redfish Lake Creek between the Salmon River and Little Redfish Lake. There weren't very many. Maybe we arrived too late in the season, or maybe it was due to the Ice Harbor or Lower Monumental Dams. Regardless, it was an amazing sight to see.

Between 1983 and 1987 we lived in Challis. During that time, we visited the newlyopened Sawtooth Fish Hatchery several times and were bamboozled into believing that hatcheries were the answer to declining salmon and steelhead runs. My husband spent many a winter day standing on the shelf ice at Shoup fishing for steelhead. I learned to tie steelhead flies at the Challis Public library and watched angler's cars driving up and down 93, and fishing holes crowded with anglers and anchored drift boats.

While attending graduate school in 2000, I did a literature review on Sockeye recovery. Four factors were implicated in salmon decline: hydropower dams, over-harvesting, habitat degradation and hatcheries. Although dams were deemed the major culprit, and bypass the best answer to solve the crisis, power companies, and state and federal agencies have spent billions to AVIOD bypassing or breaching dams, to no avail. Harvest is at an all-time low, not due to increased regulation of commercial and sport fisheries, but because there are simply no fish to catch. Bonneville Power has spent nearly 17 BILLION dollars on improving hatcheries, on fish passage projects and habitat restoration. Still no fish. We have flushed them and barged them and still no fish. It is time to restore healthy runs of Snake River Salmon, and possibly save southern resident orcas from extinction. It is time to breach the four lower Salmon dams and at the same time increase flows over the Columbia River Dams.

Sincerely,

Sarah J. Harris 1467 Falls Ave W Twin Falls, ID 83301

Governor's Salmon Workgroup Public Comment Form

Comments to the Governor's Salmon Workgroup will be accepted in-person or by email submitted to species@osc.idaho.gov

Date:	11/19/2019
Name:	Reese R Hodges
Phone:	(208)-371-7947
Email:	reeseh88@gmail.com

Comments:

Dear Governor Little and Governor's Salmon Workgroup Members,

My name is Reese Hodges, and I am a guide on the Middle Fork of the Salmon River. I grew up in Boise, and despite some time away, I returned each summer to guide people down our world-renowned river canyons. Like most Idahoans, I am an advocate for our wild salmon.

We face the possibility of watching Idaho's wild salmon go extinct. I commend the efforts of Governor Little to establish this workgroup and include the voices of various stakeholders to find collaborative solutions to this crisis. Idaho's deep connection to salmon is evident. Here lies the best habitat in the Columbia Basin for wild Chinook, Steelhead, and Sockeye. Generations of Idahoans hold a reverence for these fish and the abundance brought by their migration to the upper reaches of the Salmon and Clearwater Rivers.

The intrinsic value alone is enough to take bold action. However, there is much more to the case. Idaho's rural communities along the river corridors have economies that are directly linked to our salmon and steelhead. The recent closures have illuminated the economic impact; empty motels, restaurants, and outfitters and guides out of work. With over 16 billion dollars spent on recovery efforts since 1980, and a continuing downward trend in wild salmon populations, it is clear that we must consider a different solution. Maintaining the status quo would be a diservice to all Idahoans and our wildlife; especially when the opportunity for change lies ahead.

I encourage you to consider what Idahoans, your constituents, value in leadership. Consider whose names and faces are carved into stone and cast in bronze. Senator Church and Governor Andrus took bold action to protect wilderness, rivers, wildlife, and Idaho communities. Their efforts took an immense amount of courage, and their legacy will be honored as long as our capitol stands.

Are you willing to be bold? Are you willing to stand up for Idahoans? If so, perhaps you will be remembered like Senator Church and Governor Andrus; and your legacy will be seen, felt, and honored. If not, perhaps you will be forgotten, or worse yet, remembered as those who let our salmon disappear. I encourage you to summon the courage and take this opporunity to stand up for Idaho, and take down the lower four Snake River Dams.

From:	J. Kahle Becker
То:	Species Conservation
Subject:	My comments for Salmon/Steehead working group
Date:	Wednesday, November 20, 2019 2:37:36 PM
Attachments:	Public-Comment-Form-Salmon-Workgroup.pdf

Since OSC has denied the public the opportunity to testify and ask questions at the Boise meeting of the salmon and steelhead working group, I hereby submit my comments in writing. I also ask OSC, and whoever is controlling this process, to reconsider this decision on denying treasure valley residents the opportunity to provide public testimony. It seems as though treasure valley residents are being considered second class citizens. This further reinforces the perception that the state of Idaho is beholden to agricultural interests and does not care about the future of these iconic fish.

J. Kahle Becker Eagles Center 223 N. 6th Street, # 325, Boise, Idaho 83702 Phone: 208-345-8466 Fax: (208) 906-8663 <u>kahle@kahlebeckerlaw.com</u> <u>http://www.kahlebeckerlaw.com</u>

****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email contains confidential information that is protected by the attorney-client and/or work product privilege, and that is exempt from disclosure under applicable law. It is intended only for the use of the individual(s) named as recipients. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender, please do not deliver, distribute or copy this email, or disclose its contents, or take any action in reliance on the information it contains.

Governor's Salmon Workgroup Public Comment Form

Comments to the Governor's Salmon Workgroup will be accepted in-person or by email submitted to species@osc.idaho.gov

Date:	11/20/19
Name:	Kahle Becker
Phone:	208-340-0231
Email:	kahlebecker@gmail.com

Comments:

I question why the state is keeping Jim Yost in his position. Clearly his lack of leadership has failed Idaho sportsmen. Salmon and Steelhead can not wait for Mr. Yost to coast to retirement doing what he has acomplished for years, nothing. Its time for a change. Appoint someone who actually cares about the survival of these iconic species and who is ready to push for the changes and practical solutions we need to keep these fish coming back to Idaho.

Also, please explain why Idaho opposed Oregon's request to install fish passage over Hells Canyon dam during the last round of FERC relicensing. Seeking legislative approval to reintroduce a native species into its native habitat is just ridiculous. Clearly the legislature is beholden to agricultural interests and does not care about river communities or sportsmen. Installing a Salmon Cannon over these 2 dams would not impact a single farmer or rancher in any negative way. Please consider fish passage at Hells Canyon and Oxbow as one simple deliverable this working group could easily provide.

From:	John Sigler
To:	Species Conservation
Cc:	John Sigler
Subject:	Governor"s Salmon Work Group
Date:	Wednesday, November 20, 2019 9:00:48 PM

OSC

Now running to nearly 40 years when the dam defenders first offered up barges and trucks and "surge flows" to promote migration. Millions spent on these efforts and studies. Reservoirs and dams are both death traps for adults and smolts alike. I worked on adult steelhead losses in the McNary Pool while working on a Ph.D. in Fisheries Biology and Management at the University of Idaho. Even then the discussions at Dworshack Hatchery and the lower Snake Dams centered on the futility of the above noted process. Stocks would continue to decline. Now they have declined to near extinction levels. What more evidence does the Governor need? One conclusion in 2019: breach the dams.

jws

John W. Sigler, Ph.D. Pocatello, Idaho Environmental Scientist Over every MOUNTAIN there is a path, although it may not be seen from the VALLEY. Theodore Roethke

Listening is a gesture full of grace. Grumbine.

From:	Alan Hausrath
То:	Species Conservation
Subject:	Salmon Recovery
Date:	Thursday, November 21, 2019 4:04:50 PM

Dear Members of the Governor's Salmon Workgroup,

I write you not as an expert in any area of salmon science or the politics surrounding it, but as an ordinary Idaho citizen and grandfather. As an Idaho citizen, I know that Idaho without salmon isn't Idaho. As a grandfather, I know that I want my grandchildren to be able to see salmon and fish for them when they are old enough.

I've looked at the data and I see that we generally spend more and more each year to recover salmon, yet we generally get less and less fish returning. We've tried barging; we've tried trucking; we've tried flushing, yet the same thing happens every year--less fish. One definition of insanity is doing the same thing over and over again and expecting a different result.

Let's not be insane about this; let's finally try something different. Let's stop wasting the money we're currently wasting and, instead, breach the dams and make the Snake River system like the Yakima River system which only has the four Columbia River dams between it and the ocean and gets much better returns.

We don't need the small amount of power the four lower Snake River dams generate, wind and solar are abundant in our region. The irrigators will still have water, just it will be a little lower. Wheat farmers will still be able to get their product to market. We can make Lewiston whole with an Idaho plan that works for people and fish and builds a river economy there to replace the dying barging industry.

River restoration is key, so let's breach the dams and restore salmon to their rightful place in Idaho.

Sincerely,

Alan Hausrath 1820 N. 7th Street Boise, ID 83702

From:	Don Vernon
To:	Species Conservation
Subject:	Salmon and Steelhead Below Recovery Levels
Date:	Wednesday, November 27, 2019 10:00:00 AM

In 2019, salmon and steelhead returns were significantly below recovery goals for Idaho's endangered salmon and steelhead. The amounts are dramatic regarding the recovery of these fish. Sockeye returns were 97% below recovery goals. Fall chinook was 76% below recovery goals. Spring/summer chinook returns were 70% below recovery goals. While steelhead were a dismal 66% below recovery goals. Actions are needed now to meet recovery goals. Idaho Fish and Game expressed they hope the sockeye salmon will increase and return back to Idaho. Hope and ineffective actions have caused the salmon returns to be significantly below recovery levels. The lower Snake River (Lower Granite, Little Goose, Lower Monumental, and Ice Harbor) and four dams on the Columbia River (McNary, John Day, The Dalles, and Bonneville) limit passage for juveniles migrating to the ocean and for adults returning to spawn. In addition, the hydropower system development and operations reduce mainstem habitat quality, affecting both juvenile and adult migration. Since these dams and their operations are a primary threat to the viability of Snake River salmon and steelhead and because Idaho's salmon and steelhead populations have continued to decline, it is now time for the Corps of Engineers to be directed by our elected officials to initiate dam breaching. Dam breaching should start on the lower Snake River to achieve delisting of Idaho's endangered salmon and steelhead.

Thanks.

Don Vernon Middleton, ID (208) 401-6183

From:	Erin Hord
To:	Species Conservation
Subject:	Public Comment about the salmon and steelhead issue
Date:	Monday, December 2, 2019 9:20:46 AM

Hello,

My name is Erin Hord, and my phone number is 440-339-4503.

I believe that in order to protect and preserve the ecosystems of the northwest United States, salmon and steelhead need to be replenished. Salmon are a keystone species, and they provide nutrients for a countless number of plants and animals. Having seen the demise of the Southern Resident population of orcas myself this past summer working as a Naturalist in the San Juan Islands, I can say that salmon are absolutely crucial to their survival. The lower four Snake River dams need to be removed in order to let these powerful fish reach their spawning grounds, so that their offspring can return to the sea. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely, Erin Hord