Sage Grouse Actions Team Cooperative Sage-grouse Project Application 2021-2022, FY22 Funding Cycle

Grant funds requeste	d:
Name of project:	
Part I – Contact	nformation
1. Applicant: i.e., th	e person or organization taking the lead on the project
Name:	
Organization:	
Address:	
City, State, Zip Code:	
Telephone:	
Email:	
2. Fiscal contact: Inc	dividual and/or organization responsible for handling and dispersing award funds
Name:	
Organization:	
Address:	
City, State, Zip Code:	
Telephone:	
Email:	
3. Other contacts: I	f needed, include any special contact information, or additional contacts
Landowner:	
Project manager:	
Technical contacts:	

Part II - Project Overview

grouse Habitat Management Zone (Priority, Important, General), township range, section, latitude/longitude with datum or UTM coordinates with datum and UTM zone, land ownership). Include a shapefile or pin with application. Single Site Single/Multiple Sites: Multiple Sites County: Desert Mountain Valley Sage-grouse Conservation Area: Southern West Owyhee Core (Priority) Important General Sage-grouse Habitat Mgmt. Area: Township/Range/Section: e.g. T1N, R5E, S1Z Latitude/longitude or UTM with datum: Ownership: select multiple if applicable, ☐ Private □ State For federal specify: for federal specify (e.g. BLM, USFS, etc.) ☐ Federal ☐ Tribal Narrative: Where is your project located? How does it fit in with the larger landscape? What other actions are occurring that your project complements? Is your project associated with regional, state, and local plans? What partners are involved and how are they involved? Explain current conditions on the site, describe what the site is like before the project. 3. **Problem:** What threat/s to sage-grouse are being identified and addressed?

1. Project location: County, Sage-grouse Conservation Area (Desert, Mountain Valley, Southern, West Owyhee), Sage-

4.	Solution: How will the problem treated, amount/type of project project benefit sage-grouse? For involve burning: How will you of	ct treatments i or Juniper Proj	nstalled, etc. The objective ects: How will you deal witl	s should be measureable. In the slash after treatment	low will the
5.	Project Timeline (Project funds	awarded mu	st be utilized by June 30, 2	022)	
	Start date: mm/dd/yyyy	E	End Date: mm/dd/yyyy		
	What is the proposed project s	chedule? Elabo	orate below on each step o	f your project.	
6.	Permits				
Α	re permits needed for the project?	C Yes	○ No	© N/A	
W	/ill they be completed in time?	• Yes	C No		
Li	st what permits are needed:				

Is NEPA needed for this	project?	C Yes	O No	
Has NEPA been completed?		C Yes	O No	
3. Maintenance : Who	will maintain	the project, wh	at aspects need to be maintain	ed in the future and for how long?
Who will maintain:	How the	project be maint	ained:	Duration of maintenance:
 Post-Implementat to show effectiven 		ng: If needed, pr	rovide additional information o	n how the project will be monitore
•	How will What var species e	the project be m	onitored: photo points, line point, onitoring for: i.e. invasive/noxious ning, juniper regrowth, seeding	etc.
to show effectiven	How will What var species e	the project be m riables are you m establishing/return	onitored: photo points, line point, onitoring for: i.e. invasive/noxious ning, juniper regrowth, seeding	etc.
to show effectiven Who will monitor: O. Year Two Status R	How will What var species e establish	the project be m riables are you m establishing/retur nment/survival, et s responsible for	nonitored: photo points, line point, onitoring for: i.e. invasive/noxious ning, juniper regrowth, seeding etc.)	Duration of monitoring:
to show effectiven Who will monitor: O. Year Two Status R Name:	How will What var species e establish eport: Who is	the project be m riables are you m establishing/return nment/survival, et s responsible for	nonitored: photo points, line point, onitoring for: i.e. invasive/noxious ning, juniper regrowth, seeding etc.)	etc. Duration of monitoring:

12. Project Partners: Show all anticipated funding sources and indicate the dollar value for cash or in-kind (labor, equipment, fuel, materials, etc.)

Contact information:

Funding Source: partner and contribution	Cash	In-Kind	Total	Match funding secured? Yes/No
Sage-grouse Actions Team	\$	\$	\$	O Yes O No
NRCS	\$	\$	\$	O Yes O No
IDFG	\$	\$	\$	O Yes O No
USFWS	\$	\$	\$	O Yes O No
Landowners	\$	\$	\$	O Yes O No
Other:	\$	\$	\$	O Yes O No
Total estimated funds: add all amounts in f	\$			

plain: what project component/s will each source fund?						

Part III – Project Budget (Use whole numbers, do not include cents)

Expense Category	No. of units	Unit Cost	Sage-grouse Actions Team Funds	Cost Share: In-Kind/Cash (match)	Description : what will be purchased or done, who will provide the item/perform work
Contracted Se	rvices: labor,	supplies, materials	and travel to be		staff for project information.
		\$	\$	\$	
		\$	\$	\$	
		\$	\$	\$	
		\$	\$	\$	
		\$	\$	\$	
		\$	\$	\$	
		\$	\$	\$	
		\$	\$	\$	
		\$	\$	\$	
		\$	\$	\$	
		\$	\$	\$	
		\$	\$	\$	
	•	Subtotal (1)	\$	\$	
		ers to items that ai Team must be dir			applicant, and are "used up" in the course of the n of this grant.
		\$	\$	\$	
		\$	\$	\$	
		\$	\$	\$	
		\$	\$	\$	
		\$	\$	\$	
		\$	\$	\$	
		\$	\$	\$	
		\$	\$	\$	
		Subtotal (2)	\$	\$	
Other: land us	se signature co	osts, project permit	t costs, small equi	pment repair, cor	mmercial equipment rental.
		\$	\$	\$	
		\$	\$	\$	
		Subtotal (3)	\$	\$	
Mod		Subtotal (3)	\$	\$	
		Subtotal (3)	\$		
	stration: not t	Subtotal (3) irect Cost (MTDC) Add subtotals 1-3	\$	\$	

We, the undersigned, attest that to the best of our knowledge the information contained in this application is accurate and:

- The project funds awarded will be utilized by June 30, 2022.
- We understand that the submitted application is a matter of public record.

Also, should funding for this project be awarded we understand that:

- We may not incur any project expenses until all designated signatories have signed the OSC grant agreement.
- We will be required to provide proper accounting of project expenses.
- We will be required to provide the necessary and normal maintenance to sustain the value of the project once it is completed.

date:

By their signatures, the landowner(s) attest that they are authorized to sign as landowner, and they agree to provide, upon prior request and at a mutually acceptable time, site access to the applicant or representatives of OSC for a period up to two years following project completion to allow project work to be implemented, monitored and maintained.

•		
Lando	wner:	date:
Fiscal	agent:	date:
Proje	ect checklist:	
	All maps and photos are attached to the appl	lication (required)
	Include a project shapefile or location pin for	each project feature (required)
	Site drawings/diagrams/designs are included	in the application submission (if applica
	Landowner, applicant, fiscal agent have signe	ed the grant application (required)

Applicant:

Sage-Grouse Actions Team Habitat Projects

Grant Application Evaluation Worksheet

February 2021

Information on where in the application the score will be evaluated according to each question is shown in parentheses. The Actions Team will review each application provide a score for each question within the range provided in the final column, with a higher score representing a better answer or better location.

PROJECT ACTIVITY CRITERIA	SCORE BASED ON
(Refer to Part II of the application template for all questions below)	
1. Does project positively affect Priority, Important, or General sage-grouse habitat? (Part II, 1 & 4)	 General - Lower Important - Higher Priority - Highest
2. Is the project in a Fine Scale area that has been identified in the 2020 GRSG Adaptive Management Team Report? Points will be given if project is an identified project in the 2020 Team Report or is expected to contribute to offsetting the identified the causal factor. (Report Located on OSC website under Sage-grouse Actions Team)	 Not within – Low Yes - High
3. Land ownership (Part II, 1)	Federal Land – Low
(Project components directly affect the ownership)	State, Tribal, Private – MidMore than one - High
4. The application adequately describes current conditions (Part II, 2) (Part II, 3).	Range Depending on Clarity
5. Does the project address primary (wildfire, invasive species), secondary threats to sage-grouse in that Conservation Area? (Part II, 3)	 Secondary – Low Primary – High Wet Meadows – Additional Points Sagebrush Establishment - Additional Points
6. The application clearly defines the sage-grouse threat(s) and the how the project is expected to minimize the threat(s)	Range of Scores Depending on Clarity
(Part II, 3 & 4)	
7. The project has clearly defined, <i>measurable</i> objectives.	Range of Scores Depending on Clarity
8. The project (a) complements other efforts under way or completed in the surrounding landscape, and (b) is associated with a local, state, or regional strategic plan. (Part II, 2)	Range of Scores Depending on Clarity

9. The proposed project schedule is well thought out and appears to be realistic. (Part II, 5)	Range of Scores Depending on Clarity
10. Monitoring	A) No Score
A) Not Identified	B) Range of Scores Depending on Clarity
B) An appropriate monitoring program and monitoring outcomes are clearly identified OR project does not require monitoring to be considered an effective project. (Part II, 9)	
11. The budget:	Range of Scores Depending on Clarity
(a) shows sufficient detail for all categories,	
(b) unit quantities/costs appear to be reasonable, appropriate, and consistent with local market rates or NRCS cost share rates. (Part. III Budget Page)	
12. The project has appropriate levels of involvement and support from the right parties and partners. (Partners are considered funding partners, does not include Landowner) (Part. II, 2 & 12)	 No Partners = Low 1-2 Partners = Mid 3 or more = High
13. The applicant has match for the project. Applicant is awarded higher scores for more secured match. (Match is defined as something that directly affects the project) (Part II, 12)	 No Match = None 26-50% = Low 51-75% = Mid >75% = High